arXiv:1611.06375v5 [math.AG] 9 Dec 2016

Some results on the higher Abel Jacobi map for open varieties

Johann Bouali

December 12, 2016

Abstract

In this article, we study the infinitesimal invariant of the relative higher Abel Jacobi map of a smooth open morphism. We give a generalization of a theorem of Voisin to open algebraic varieties and higher Chow groups and as a corollary a non vanishing criterion for the higher Abel Jacobi map of an general open smooth hypersurface section of high degree of a smooth projective variety Y. On the other side by Nori connectness theorem, the image of the primitive part of the higher Abel Jacobi map of a general open smooth hypersurface of high degree of Y is, modulo torsion, generated by the restriction to this open smooth hypersurface of a closed Bloch cycle in the corresponding affine subset of Y whose cohomology class in Y is primitive.

1 Introduction

Notations:

- We denote by $\mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$ the category of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$ the full subcategory of smooth algebraic varieties, $\mathrm{PVar}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$, the full subcategory of projective varieties, $\mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$ the full subcategory of smooth projective varieties.

- For $V \in \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$, we denote by V^{an} the complex analytic space associated to V with the usual topology induced by $\mathbb{C}^N$. By $V' \subset V$ an open subset, we mean an open subset of V^{an} (i.e. an open subset for the usual topology).

- For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of abelian group on a locally compact Hausdorf topological space V, we denote by $D^{\vee}(\mathcal{F})$ the (Verdier dual) sheaf : for $V' \subset V$ an open subset $\Gamma(V', D^{\vee}(\mathcal{F})) = \Gamma_c(V', \mathcal{F})^{\vee}$.

- For $V \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, we denote by O_V the sheaf of holomorphic function on V^{an} and by $(\Omega_V^{\bullet}, \partial)$ the complex of sheaf of holomorphic forms on V^{an}. We denote by $(\mathcal{A}_V^{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ the bicomplex of sheaf of differential forms on V^{an}. The filtration F associated to its total complex $(\mathcal{A}_V^{\bullet}, d)$ is the Frölicher filtration. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_V^{\bullet} = D^{\vee}(\mathcal{A}_V^{\bullet})$ the complex of sheaf of currents on V^{an} which is filetered by the Frölicher filtration F.

- For $V \in \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf of O_V module on V^{an} , we denote by $D^{\vee}_{O_V}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om_{O_V}(\mathcal{F}, O_V)$ the dual sheaf of O_V module on V^{an} : for $V' \subset V$ an open subset, $\Gamma(V', D^{\vee}_{O_V}(\mathcal{F})) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{|V'}, O_{V'})$.

- For a complex $A^{\bullet}$ in an abelian category, we denote by F_b the filtration bête on it: $F_b^p A^{\bullet} = A^{\bullet \geq p}$.

- We denote by $\square^n = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and by $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, n) \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(X \times \square^n)$ the subgroup of p codimentional cycle in $X \times \square^n$ meeting all faces of $\square^n$ properly. We denote by $\pi_X : X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to X$ and $\pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} : X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ the projections.

- For $V \in \mathrm{Top}$ a topological space, we denote by $C_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{sing}}(V, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Top}}(\Delta^{\bullet}, V)$ the complex of singular chains, $\Delta^p \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ being the standard simplex. For $V \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$ a differential manifold, we have an the inclusion of complexes $C_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{diff}}(V, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R})}(\Delta^{\bullet}, V) \subset C_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{sing}}(V, \mathbb{Z})$ which is a quasi-isomorphism.

The Abel Jacobi map and normal functions associated to a family of algebraic cycles has been studied a lot for projective varieties, but few appears in the literature for open varieties By an open variety, we mean a non complete algebraic variety, or most specificaly in our case a non projective quasi-projective variety. In this article we give generalization of classical result for projective varieties to the case of open varieties.

Every smooth open variety is the complementary subset of a normal crossing divisor in a smooth projective variety. For an open variety $U = X\backslash D$, with $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \subset X$ a normal crossing, we have $(\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)), F) = (D^\vee(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$ the complex of sheaves of $\log D$ currents on X^{an} defined by King [6] and F is the Frölicher filtration. A $\log D$ current on an open subset $V \subset X$ is a linear form on the $\mathrm{nul}\, D$ differential forms with compact support on V. The complex sheaves of $\mathrm{nul}\, D$ differential forms on X^{an} is the subcomplex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X$ of differential forms on X^{an} consisting of those which vanishes holomorphically on D.

The main goal of the first section is to note the E_1 degenerescence of the filtered complex $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$ where F is the Frölicher filtation and to reinterpret the Poincare duality paring

$$< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_X} : (H^k(U, \mathbb{C}), F) \otimes (H^{2d_X-k}(X, D, \mathbb{C}), F) \to \mathbb{C} \;\; \lambda \otimes \mu \mapsto (\lambda.\mu)([X]) \tag{1}$$

which is a morphism of mixed Hodge structure, as the one induced in cohomology by the pairing

$$< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_X} : (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^k_X(\log D)), F) \otimes (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-k}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F) \to \mathbb{C} \;\; T \otimes \eta \mapsto T(\eta) \tag{2}$$

To see the E_1 degenerescence of $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$, we prove (c.f. proposition 6) that the inclusion map of filtered complexes

$$\tau : (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F) \hookrightarrow (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}), F), \;\; \tau(\omega) = (\omega, 0, \cdots, 0) \tag{3}$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism and use the E_1 degenerescence of $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}), F)$, where $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D} = \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X \to i_{D_\bullet *}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet})[-1]$, $D_\bullet$ is the simplicial variety associated to D together with the canonical morphism $i_{D_\bullet} : D_\bullet \to D \hookrightarrow X$.

For an open variety $U = X\backslash D$, with $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \subset X$ a normal crossing divisor, we have (c.f.[4]) the classical realization map

$$\mathcal{R}^p(X, D) : \mathcal{Z}^p(U, \bullet)^{pr/X} \to C^{\mathcal{D}}_\bullet(X, D, \mathbb{Z}), \quad Z \mapsto (T_Z, \Omega_Z, R_Z) := r^{\mathcal{D}}_{X,D}(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}}),$$

where $\bar{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$ is the closure of Z in $X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, which take naturally value in the relative Deligne homology complex,

$$C^{\mathcal{D}}_\bullet(X, D, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Cone}(C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p+\bullet}(X, D, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{D}^{2p+\bullet}_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{2p+\bullet-1}_X(\log D))).$$

This leads to the higher Abel Jacobi map for $U = X\backslash D$:

$$AJ_U : \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0} \to \mathrm{CH}^p(U, n)^{\mathrm{hom}} \to J^{p,2p-n-1}(U), \;\; Z \mapsto AJ(Z) = [R'_Z],$$

where the abelian group $\mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0}$ consist of the closed Bloch cycle on U, whose closure $\bar{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X \times \square^n)$ in $X \times \square^n$ is still a Bloch cycle, i.e. meet all the faces of $X \in \square^n$ properly ($\partial Z = 0$ is then equivalent to $\partial\bar{Z} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D, n)$), and whose cohomology class $[\Omega_Z] = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U, \mathbb{C})$ vanishes, and the complex variety

$$J^{p,k}(U) = H^k(U, \mathbb{C})/(F^pH^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^k(U, \mathbb{Z})) \simeq (F^{d_X-p+1}H^{2d_X-k}(X, D, \mathbb{C}))^\vee/H_{2d_X-k}(X, D, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4}$$

is the intermediate jacobian. We show in proposition 9 that

- AJ_U for $U \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is independent of the choise of a compactification (X, D), $U = X\backslash D$, $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, $D \subset X$ n.c.d ;
- AJ_U is covariantly functorial in $U \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ for proper morphisms

- AJ_U is contravariantly functorial in $U \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ for all morphisms.

In the second section (section 3), we study the relative case. Let $f_U : U \to S$ an open morphism which is the restriction to the complementary of a divisor $D \subset X$ of a smooth projective morphism $f : X \to S$, $X, S \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, such that D restrict on each fiber X_s of f to a normal crossing divisor $D_s \subset X_s$. We then introduce the (holomorphic) Leray filtration on the complexes of $\log D$ currents and $\mathrm{nul}\, D$ differential forms giving rise to the commutative diagramm of inclusion of bifiltered complexes of sheaves on X^{an} (cf proposition 10 and proposition 14) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
(\Omega_X^\bullet, F_b, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, F, L) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F_b, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\log D), F, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{D}_X(\log D), F, L)
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

whose rows are bifiltered quasi-isomorphisms of sheaves. As in the first section, we note the E_1 degenerescence of the filtered complex $(f_*\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ where F is the Frölicher filtation and we reinterpret the Poincare duality paring

$$< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f} : (\mathcal{H}_S^k(f_U), F) \otimes (\mathcal{H}_S^{2d_X - k}(f_{X,D}), F) \to O_S \;\; \lambda \otimes \mu \mapsto (\lambda.\mu)([X]) \tag{6}$$

which is a morphism of variation of mixed Hodge structure, as the one induced in cohomology by the pairing

$$< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f} : (f_*\mathcal{D}_{X/S}^k(\log D)), F) \otimes (\Gamma(X, f_*\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d_X - k}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F) \to \mathbb{C} \;\; T \otimes \eta \mapsto f_*(T \wedge \eta) \tag{7}$$

Here,

- $\mathcal{H}_S^k(f_U) = \mathcal{H}^k f_* \mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D) \simeq Rf_{U*}\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} O_S$ and
- $\mathcal{H}_S^k(f_{X,D}) = \mathcal{H}^k f_* \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \simeq Rf_{X,D*}\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} O_S$

are sheaves of O_S modules on S^{an} whose evaluations on $s \in S$ are $H^k(U_s, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^k(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{C})$ respectively, and the filtration F is the one induced by the Frölicher filtration (see definition 11). For $s \in S$, since the fiber $U_s \subset U$ is closed in U^{an} and U^{an} is paracompact, we have $(R^k f_{U*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(U_s, \mathbb{C})$. We have the canonical quasi isomorphism $Rf_{X,D*}\mathbb{C} = Rf_{U!}\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Cone}(Rf_*\mathbb{C} \to Rf_{D*}\mathbb{C})[-1]$. On the other hand, $(R^k f_{X*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_s, \mathbb{C})$ and $(R^k f_{D*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(D_s, \mathbb{C})$ since the fibers $X_s \subset X$ and $D_s \subset D$ are closed in X^{an} and D^{an} respectively and X^{an} and D^{an} are compact (hence paracompact). Hence, for $s \in S$, $(R^k f_{X,D*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{C})$

To see the E_1 degenerescence of $(f_*\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$, we prove (c.f. proposition 16 and corollary 4) that map of filtered complexes of sheaves on S^{an}

$$< \tau > : (f_*\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{A}_{(X,D)/S}^\bullet, F), \;\; < \tau > (< \omega >) = (< \omega >, 0, \cdots, 0) \tag{8}$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism and use the E_1 degenerescence of $(f_*\mathcal{A}_{(X,D)/S}^\bullet, F)$. The commutative diagramm of bicomplexes of sheaves on X^{an} (c.f.proposition 11, see also remark 4)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi^{r,\bullet,\bullet} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{\bullet - r,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\phi^{r,p,q} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{\bullet - r,\bullet}(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r
\end{array} \tag{9}$$

given by taking the inner product with a relevement of a vector field on S^{an}, allows us to define (c.f. subsection 3.3) the Gauss Manin connexions relative to the local systems $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U)$ and $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D})$ satisfying by definition the transversality property and featuring in the commutative diagramm :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla : F^pH^k_S(f_{X,D}) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}H^k_S(f_{X,D})\otimes_{O_S}\Omega_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \nabla : F^pH^k_S(f) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}H^k_S(f)\otimes_{O_S}\Omega_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \nabla : F^pH^k_S(f_U) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}H^k_S(f_U)\otimes_{O_S}\Omega_S \end{array} \tag{10}$$

and we denote $\bar{\nabla}$ the induced connexion on graded pieces. Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X,\hom S}_{\partial=0}$ a closed Bloch cycle on U, such that its closure $\bar{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X\times\square^n)$ in $X\times\square^n$ is a Bloch cycle which intersect all the fibers of f properly and assume $[\Omega_{Z|U_s}] = [\Omega_Z]_{|U_s}] = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U_s,\mathbb{C})$. for all $s\in S$. Then the current R_Z induces by restriction on each fiber a function

$$\nu_Z : s\in S \mapsto [R'_{Z_s}] = ev_{X_s}[R_{Z_s}] \in J^{p,2p-n-1}(U_s) \tag{11}$$

In theorem 6, we prove using the duality and the E_1 degenerescence of $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$, the following generalization a classical result: $\nu_Z \in NS(f_U) \subset \Gamma(S, J^{p,2p-n-1}(f_U))$ is a normal function, that is is holomorphic and horizontal. Here

$$J^{p,2p-n-1}(f_U) = \mathcal{H}^{2p-n-1}_S(f_U)/(F^p\mathcal{H}^{2p-n-1}_S(f_U)\oplus H^{2p-n-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U))$$

is the relative intermediate jacobian. As a normal function ν_Z has an infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_Z \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\nabla}))$ (c.f. the end of the subsection 3.3). On the other hand the class $[\Omega_Z]_{|U_s} = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U_s,\mathbb{C})$ of the current Ω_Z restrict to zero on the fiber by hypothesis leading to a class $[\Omega_Z] \in \Gamma(S, L^1R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))$, which has an infinitesimal invariant :

$$\delta[\Omega_Z] = r^{\bar{\vee}-1}(\psi^2_L([\Omega_Z]/L^2)) = r^{\bar{\vee}-1}([\Omega_Z]/L^2) \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}(f_U)\otimes_{O_S}\Omega_S/Im(\bar{\nabla}))$$

where, c.f. subsection 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5

- $\psi^2_L : \mathrm{Gr}^1_L R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D) = E^{1,p-n}_\infty \hookrightarrow R^{p-n}f_*(\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\Omega^p_X(\log D)) = E^{1,p-n}_1$ is the inclusion of sheaves on S^{an} induced by the spectral sequence associated to the complex $(\Omega^p_X(\log D), L)$: for degree reason no arrow d_r, $r\geq 2$ can lead to $E^{1,p-n}_r$.
- $r^{\bar{\vee}} : \Omega_S\otimes\mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\nabla}) \to R^{p-n}f_*(\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\Omega^p_X(\log D))$ is the isomorphism induced by
  - the morphism of sheaves on S^{an} $r^\vee : R^{p-n}f_*\,\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\Omega^p_X(\log D) \to R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2)$ (induced in relative cohomoloy by the morphism of sheaves on X^{an} $r^\vee : \mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\Omega^p(\log D) \to \Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2$),
  - the isomorphism of sheaves on S^{an} $\phi^{1,p} : R^{p-n}f_*\,\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\Omega^p_X(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_S\otimes\mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)$ (induced in the f direct image cohomology by the isomorphism of complexes of sheaves on X^{an} $\phi^{1,p,\bullet} : \mathrm{Gr}^1_L\,\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}(\log D)_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{p-1,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D)\otimes\Omega_S$)

In theorem 7 (c.f. subection 3.5), we prove using the duality and the E_1 degenerescence of $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$, the following generalization a result of Voisin ([8, theorem 19.14]), which is one of the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1. *Let $Z = \sum_i n_iZ_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\hom/S}_{\partial=0}$ such that $\pi_X(\bar{Z}_i)\subset X$ is a local complete intersection for all i. Then $\delta\nu_Z = \delta[\Omega_Z] \in \Gamma(S,\Omega_S\otimes\mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\nabla}))$.*

In the last section (section 4), we give two results on the relative higher Abel Jacobi map for families of ample open hypersurface section of high degree of smooth projective variety $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$. In subsection 4.1, we give (c.f.theorem 9) the following application of theorem 7. Let $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ together with an embedding $Y \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Consider the commutative diagram 51 of families of hypersurface sections of degre d and e, whose squares are cartesians :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D : \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{k_{\mathcal{D}}} & \mathcal{Z} & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{\mathcal{D}}} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f : \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{X}}} & Y \times S_d \times S_e & \xrightarrow{p_{d,e}} & S_d \times S_e \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_{\mathcal{U}}} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U : \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \backslash \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{U}}} & (Y \times S_d \times S_e) \backslash \mathcal{Z} & & \end{array} \tag{12}$$

and denote by $p_Y : Y \times S_d \times S_e \to Y$ the other projection. Note that $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, since $p_{Y|\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \to Y$, $p_{Y|\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \to Y$, $p_{Y|\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \to Y$ are projective bundles and Y is smooth. For $0 \in S_e$, consider the pullback of this diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D^o : D = X \cap (Z_0 \times S_d) & \xrightarrow{k_D} & Z_0 \times S_d & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_D} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f^o : X = \mathcal{X}_{S_d \times 0} & \xrightarrow{i_X} & Y \times S_d \times 0 & \xrightarrow{p_d^0} & S_d \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U^o : U = X \backslash D & \xrightarrow{i_U} & (Y \backslash Z_0 \times S_d) & & \end{array} \tag{13}$$

where $Z_0 = p_Y^0(\mathcal{Z}_{S_d \times 0}) \subset Y$, $p_Y^0 = p_{Y|Y \times S_d \times 0} : Y \times S_d \times 0 \to Y$ being the projection, so that we have $\mathcal{Z}_{S_d \times 0} = Z_0 \times S_d$. Then $Y \backslash Z_0$ is an affine variety. We have $H^{d_Y}(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})^0 = H^{d_Y}(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})$ (see subsection 4.1 for the definition of the primitive cohomology of a smooth quasi-projective variety V as the kernel of the action of $\Delta(V_H) \subset V \times V$ where $V_H \subset V$ is an ample hypersurface section). For a morphism $T \to S_d$, we consider the pullback of the diagram (52) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D^T : D_T = X_T \cap (Z_0 \times T) & \xrightarrow{k_{D_T}} & Z_0 \times T & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{D_T}} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f^T : X_T & \xrightarrow{i_{X_T}} & Y \times T \times 0 & \xrightarrow{p^T} & T \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_{U_T}} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U^T : U_T = X_T \backslash D_T & \xrightarrow{i_{U_T}} & (Y \backslash Z_0) \times T & & \end{array} \tag{14}$$

where $X_T = X \times_{S_d} T$, $U_T = U \times_{S_d} T$, $D_T = D \times_{S_d} T$. We then have a version of Nori connectness theorem for families of ample open hypersurfaces of $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ (c.f. theorem 8).

Theorem 2. *Assume $d_Y \geq 4$ Let $0 \in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S \subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0 : X \to S_d$ and $f_D^0 : D \to S_d$ are smooth projective. Then, if $d, e >> 0$, for all smooth morphism $T \to S_d$,*

(i) $i_{X_T}^* : H^{d_Y - p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y \times T}(\log(Z_0 \times T))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d_Y - p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T}(\log D_T))$ *is an isomorphism,*

(ii) $i_{U_T}^* : H^{d_Y}((Y \backslash Z_0) \times T, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d_Y}(U_T, \mathbb{C})$ *is an isomorphism of mixed hodge structure.*

Then using theorem 7 and this version of Nori connectness theorem we the prove the following :

Theorem 3. *Assume $d_Y \geq 4$. Let $0 \in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S \subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0 : X \to S_d$ and $f_D^0 : D \to S_d$ are smooth projective. Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(Y\backslash Z_0, 2p-d_Y)^{pr/Y}_{\partial=0}$ such that $[\Omega_Z] \neq 0 \in H^{d_Y}(Y\backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})$. Then for $s \in S$ general, $AJ_{U_s}(Z_s) := [R'_{Z_s}] \neq 0 \in J^{p,d_Y-1}(U_s)$.*

Finally, we note that this version of Nori connectness theorem implies the following (c.f. theorem 10) which is a version of a result of Green and Müller-Stach [2] for open ample hypersurface of a smooth projective variety :

Theorem 4. *Assume $d_Y \geq 4$. Let $0 \in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S \subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0 : X \to S_d$ and $f_D^0 : D \to S_d$ are smooth projective. Consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^p(Y\backslash Z_0, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{U_s}} & \mathrm{CH}^p(U_s, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{R}^p(Y,Z_0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\mathcal{R}^p(X_s,D_s)}} \\ H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Z_0, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{Q})/J^{p,d_Y}(Y\backslash Z_0)_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

Then for a general point $s \in S$, $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)} \circ i^*_{U_s})$.

That is if $d_Y \geq 4$, $0 \in S_e$ and $s \in S \subset S_e$ are general, the image of the primitive part of the Abel Jacobi map :

$$AJ^0_{U_s} : \mathcal{Z}^p(U_s, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0} \to J^{p,d_Y-1}(U_s)_{\mathbb{Q}}/i^*_{U_s}J^{p,d_Y-1}(Y\backslash Z_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is modulo torsion generated by the $AJ^0_{U_s}(Z_{|U_s})$ for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(Y\backslash Z_0, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q})_{\partial=0}$.

2 Higher Abel Jacobi map for open varieties

Let $X \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ and $D = \cup_{j=1}^s D_j \subset X$ a normal crossing divisor with smooth components D_j. Let $U = X\backslash D$. Denote by

- $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open inclusion,
- $i_D : D \hookrightarrow X$ and $i_{D_j} : D_j \hookrightarrow X$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, the closed inclusions.
- $\pi_X : X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to X$ and $\pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} : X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ the projections.

Denote by $D_\bullet$ the simplicial algebraic variety associated to D : $D_J = \cap_{j\in J} D_j$ for $J \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ with morphisms the alternate sum of the inclusion maps $i_{D_{J'},D_J} : D_{J'} \hookrightarrow D_J$ for $J \subset J'$. Let

$$i_{D_\bullet} : D_\bullet \xrightarrow{a_D} D \xhookrightarrow{i_D} X \ , \quad i_{D_J} : D_J \xrightarrow{a_{D_J}} D \xhookrightarrow{i_D} X \ ,$$

be the morphism of simplicial algebraic varieties given by the inclusions $i_{D_J} : D_J \hookrightarrow X$ of the smooth varieties D_J in X.

The adjunction morphism of complexes of sheaves on D^{an} $\mathrm{ad}(a_D) : \mathbb{C}_D \to Ra_{D*}a_D^*\mathbb{C}_D$ is a quasi-isomorphism and $Ra_{D*}a_D^*\mathbb{C}_D = a_{D*}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}$. By definition, $a_{D*}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}$ is the total complex of sheaves on D^{an} associated to the double complex $(a_{D_J*}\mathcal{A}^k_{D_J}, d, D_r)$, where $D_r = \sum_{J,\mathrm{card}\, J=r} \sum_{J'\subset J,\mathrm{card}\, J=r-1} (-1)^l i^*_{D'_J,D_J}$. Denote by $\omega_{|D_J} := i^*_{D_J}\omega$. We have the adjunction morphism of complexes of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$i^*_{D_\bullet} : \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X \to i_{D_\bullet *}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}, \quad \omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^k_X) \mapsto$$
$$i^*_{D_\bullet}(\omega) = (\omega_{|D_1}, \cdots, \omega_{|D_s}, 0, \cdots 0) \in \Gamma(D \cap V, (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet})^k) := \oplus_J \Gamma(D_J \cap V, \mathcal{A}^{k-\mathrm{card}\, J+1}_{D_J}).$$

2.1 The relative complex of differential forms for the pair (X, D)

Definition 1. *The relative complex of sheaves of holomorphic forms for the pair (X, D) is $\Omega^\bullet_{X,D} := \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \Omega_X \to i_{D_\bullet *}\Omega_{D_\bullet})$, that is, for $V \subset X$ an open subset,*

$$\Gamma(V, \Omega^\bullet_{X,D}) = \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \Gamma(V, \Omega^\bullet_X) \to \Gamma((D \cap V)_\bullet, \Omega^\bullet_{D_\bullet}))[-1]$$

- $\Gamma(V, \Omega^p_{X,D}) = \Gamma(V, \Omega^p_X) \oplus (\oplus_J \Gamma(D_J \cap V, \Omega^{p-\mathrm{card}\, J}_{D_J}))$
- $\partial(\omega, \eta_J) = (\partial\omega\,,\, \omega_{|D_1 \cap V} - \partial\eta_1\,,\, \cdots\,,\, \omega_{|D_s \cap V} - \partial\eta_s\,,\, \cdots\,,\, \eta_{2,\cdots,s|D_{1,\cdots s}\cap V} + \cdots + (-1)\eta_{1,\ldots,s-1|D_{1,\cdots s}\cap V}) - \partial\eta_{1,\cdots s}$.

There is the filtration induced by the filtration bête F_b :

$$F^p_b\Omega^\bullet_{X,D} = \Omega^{\bullet \geq p}_X \oplus i_{D_\bullet *}\Omega^{\bullet \geq p}_{D_\bullet}[-1] \;\; ; \;\; \mathrm{Gr}^p_{F_b}\, \Omega^\bullet_{X,D} = \Omega^p_X[-p] \oplus (\oplus_J i_{D_J *}\Omega^p_{D_J}[-p - \mathrm{card}\, J]) \;\; ;$$

Definition 2. *The relative complex of sheaves of differential forms for the pair (X, D) is $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D} = \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X \to i_{D_\bullet *}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet})[-1]$, that is, for $V \subset X$ an open subset,*

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}) = \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X) \to \Gamma((D \cap V)_\bullet, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}))[-1]$$

- $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^k_{X,D}) = \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^k_X) \oplus (\oplus_J \Gamma(D_J \cap V, \mathcal{A}^{k-\mathrm{card}\, J}_{D_J}))$
- $d(\omega, \eta_J) = (d\omega\,,\, \omega_{|D_1 \cap V} - d\eta_1\,,\, \cdots\,,\, \omega_{|D_s \cap V} - d\eta_s\,,\, \cdots\,,\, \eta_{2,\cdots,s|D_{1,\cdots s}\cap V} + \cdots + (-1)\eta_{1,\ldots,s-1|D_{1,\cdots s}\cap V}) - d\eta_{1,\cdots s}$

It is a filtered complex of sheaves on X^{an} by the Frölicher filtration F ; there is also the weight filtration W with respect to the sequence $D_{1\ldots s}, \cdots, \sqcup_{j=1}^s D_j, X$: for $V \subset X$ an open subset,

$$\Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^k_{X,D}) = \Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^k_X) \oplus (\oplus_J \Gamma(D_J \cap V, F^p\mathcal{A}^{k-\mathrm{card}\, J}_{D_J})) \;\; ; \;\; \Gamma(V, W_l\mathcal{A}^k_{X,D}) = \oplus_{\mathrm{card}\, J \leq l} \Gamma(D_J \cap V, \mathcal{A}^{k-\mathrm{card}\, J}_{D_J}).$$

If $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth projective, it is clear that $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}), F, W)$ is a mixed hodge complex [7] so that the spectral sequence given by the Frölicher filtration F is E^1 degenerate.

Proposition 1. *(i) The wedge product induces an isomorphism of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}*

$$w_X : \mathrm{Gr}^p_{F_b}\, \Omega^\bullet_{X,D} \otimes_{O_X} (\mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X, \bar\partial) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X,D}, \bar\partial)$$

(ii) The inclusion of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{X,D}, F_b) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F),$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (i): We check that it define a morphism of complex. The fact that it is an isomorphism is clear. Assume for simplicity that $D_1 = D$. Let $V \subset X$ an open subset, $\omega \in (V, \Omega^p_X)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^{0,q}_X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega \wedge \gamma, 0) &= (d(\omega \wedge \gamma)\,,\, (\omega \wedge \gamma)_{|D}) = (\partial\omega \wedge \gamma + (-1)^p\omega \wedge d\gamma\,,\, \omega_{|D} \wedge \gamma_{|D}) \\ &= (\partial\omega \wedge \gamma + (-1)^p\omega \wedge d\gamma\,,\, \omega_{|D} \wedge \gamma_{|D}) \in \Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^{p+q+1}_{X,D}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus taking the quotient by F^{p+1}, we obtain

$$\bar\partial(\omega \wedge \gamma, 0) = (\omega \wedge \bar\partial\gamma\,,\, \omega_{|D} \wedge \gamma_{|D}) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}_{X,D})$$

(ii): Ihis comes from (i). We can also see (ii) directly : we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega^\bullet_X, F_b) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{D_\bullet}} & (a_{D_\bullet}\Omega^\bullet_{D_\bullet}, F_b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X, F) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{D_\bullet}} & (a_{D_\bullet}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}, F) \end{array}$$

whose column are filtered quasi-isomorphism, thus the morphism $(\Omega^\bullet_{X,D}, F_b) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphisms.

□

2.2 Complex of differential forms whose restriction on D vanishes and log currents for the pair (X, D)

Definition 3. *[6][3]*
The bicomplex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D), \partial, \bar{\partial})$ of sheaf on X^{an} for the pair (X, D) is :

$$\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D) := \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}_X^{0,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^p(\log D) \wedge \mathcal{A}_X^{0,q}, \tag{15}$$

together with the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differential ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ respectively. The induced filtration on the total complex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}(\log D), d) = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D), \partial, \bar{\partial})$, with differential $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, is the Frölicher Filtration.

Definition 4. *[6]*

- *Denote by*
$$\Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := \cap_{j=1}^s \ker(i_{D_j}^* \Omega_X^p \to i_{D_j *} : \Omega_{D_j}^p) \subset \Omega_X^p,$$
the locally free sheaf of O_X module on X^{an} consisting of holomorphic p forms whose restriction to D vanishes.

- *The bicomplex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), \partial, \bar{\partial})$ of sheaf on X^{an} for the pair (X, D) is :*
$$\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := \Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}_X^{0,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \wedge \mathcal{A}_X^{0,q} \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q},$$
together with the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differential ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ respectively. The induced filtration on the total complex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), d) = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), \partial, \bar{\partial})$, with differential $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, is the Frölicher Filtration.

- *The bicomplex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty), \partial, \bar{\partial})$ of sheaf on X^{an} for the pair (X, D) is :*
$$\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty) := \cap_{j=1}^s \ker(i_{D_j}^* : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \to i_{D_j *} \mathcal{A}_{D_j}^{p,q}) \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}.$$
together with the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differential ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ respectively. The induced filtration on the total complex $(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty), d) = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty), \partial, \bar{\partial})$, with differential $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, is the Frölicher Filtration.

By definition we have inclusion of bicomplexes $\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty) \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$. Denote by $t_{X,D} : \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D_\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$ the inclusion of bicomplexes of sheaves on X^{an}.

Proposition 2. *(i) The subcomplex of sheaves on X^{an} $\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D) = \mathcal{I}_D \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) \subset \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D)$ and the subbicomplex of sheaves on X^{an} $\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D)$ are a graded, respectively bigraded, ideal for the wedge product.*

(ii) The sheaves of O_X modules $\Omega_X^p(\log D)$ and $\Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ are locally free of rank $C_{d_X}^p$. Moreover, the wedge product w_X induces an isomorphism of sheaves of O_X modules $\Omega_X^{d_X - p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{O_X}^\vee(\Omega_X^p(\log D)) \otimes_{O_X} K_X$.

Proof. (i): This is proved in [6].

(ii): The fact that these sheaves are locally free is proved in [6]. The the wedge product induces an isomorphism of sheaves of O_X modules on X^{an} :

$$w_X : \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \Omega_X^{d_X - p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_X.$$

Indeed, for $V \subset X$ an open subset such that $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{d_X}$ and $D \cap V = V(z_1 \cdots z_r)$, w_X put together terms of the form

- $(\bigwedge_{i \in I \subset \{1, \cdots r\}} \frac{dz_i}{z_i}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{j \in J \subset \{r+1, \cdots d_X\}} dz_j)$ and

- $\Pi_{i\in I} z_i(\bigwedge_{k\in\{1,\cdots r\}\setminus I} dz_k)\wedge(\bigwedge_{l\in\{r+1,\cdots d_X\}\setminus J} dz_l)$,

with $\operatorname{card} I+\operatorname{card} J=p$. □

Proposition 3. *(i) The wedge product induces an isomorphism of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}*

$$w_X : \Omega^p_X(\operatorname{nul} D)\otimes_{O_X}(\mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X,\bar\partial)\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X(\operatorname{nul} D),\bar\partial).$$

(ii) The inclusion of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$(\Omega^\bullet_X(\operatorname{nul} D),F_b)\hookrightarrow(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\operatorname{nul} D),F),$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (i): Tt is clear that it is a morphism of complex since for $V\subset X$ an open subset, $\omega\in\Gamma(V,\Omega^p(\operatorname{nul} D))$ and $\gamma\in\Gamma(V,\mathcal{A}^{0,q}_X)$ we have $\bar\partial(\omega\wedge\gamma)=\omega\wedge\bar\partial\gamma$. It is an isomorphism by definition.

(ii): This comes from (i) : we have the Dolbeau resolutions

$$0\to\Omega^p_X(\operatorname{nul} D)\to\Omega^p_X(\operatorname{nul} D)\otimes_{O_X}(\mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X,\bar\partial)\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X(\operatorname{nul} D),\bar\partial). \tag{16}$$

□

We now give the definition of the complex of sheaves of currents :

Definition 5. *[6] The logaritmic complex $(\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D),d):=D^\vee(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\operatorname{nul} D),d)$ of sheaf on X^{an} of currents for the pair (X,D) is the Verdier dual of $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\operatorname{nul} D)$:*

$$V\subset X \text{ an open subset}\mapsto\Gamma(V,\mathcal{D}^k_X(\log(D)))=\Gamma_c(V,\mathcal{A}^{2d_X-k}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))^\vee$$

It is a filtered complex by the Frölicher filtration F. Indeed we get a bifiltered complex of sheaves on X^{an}: for $V\subset X$ an open subset

$$\Gamma(V,\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(\log(D)))=\Big\{T\in\Gamma(V,\mathcal{D}^{p+q}_X(\log(D))),\ s.t.\ T_{|\Gamma_c(V,\mathcal{A}^{r,s}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))^\vee}=0,\ \text{for } (r,s)\neq(d_X-p,d_X-q)\Big\}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\sim}\Gamma_c(V,\mathcal{A}^{d_X-p,d_X-q}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))^\vee$$

together with the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differential ∂ and $\bar\partial$ respectively. The induced filtration on the total complex $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)=\operatorname{Tot}(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D))$ with differential $d=\partial+\bar\partial$ is the Frölicher Filtration.

- We have the restriction map of filtered bicomplexes of sheaves on X^{an} $r_{X,D}=t^\vee_{X,D}:\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X\to\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D)$ which is the (Verdier) dual to the inclusion $t_{X,D}$: for $V\subset X$ an open subset,

$$T\in\Gamma(V,\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X)\mapsto r_{X,D}(T):(\eta\in\Gamma_c(V,\mathcal{A}^{d_X-p,d_X-q}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)\mapsto T(\eta))$$

- The morphism of complexes of abelian groups

$$Int: C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{diff},BM}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})\hookrightarrow\Gamma(X,\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X)\xrightarrow{r_{X,D}}\Gamma(X,\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D))$$

given by integration factors through the quotient map $r_{X,D}:C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})$ to the embedding of complexes of abelian groups :

$$C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{diff},BM}_{2d_X-\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})\hookrightarrow\Gamma(X,\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)),\ \ \gamma\mapsto(\eta\in\Gamma(X,\mathcal{A}^{2d_X-\bullet}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))\mapsto Int(\gamma)(\eta)=\int_\gamma\eta)$$

- We have the wedge product which is the morphism of bicomplexes of presheaves on X^{an}

$$w_X : \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \text{ for } V \subset X \text{ an open subset} \tag{17}$$

$$T \otimes \omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{r,s}) \mapsto T \wedge \omega : (\eta \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-r+p,d_X-s+q}) \mapsto T(\omega \wedge \eta)). \tag{18}$$

It restricts to the morphism of bicomplexes of presheaves on X^{an}

$$w_X : \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \text{ for } V \subset X \text{ an open subset} \tag{19}$$

$$T \otimes \omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D)) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{r,s}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto T \wedge \omega : (\eta \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-r+p,d_X-s+q}) \mapsto T(\omega \wedge \eta)). \tag{20}$$

and also to the morphism of bicomplexes of presheaves on X^{an}

$$w_X : \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) \text{ for } V \subset X \text{ an open subset}$$

$$T \otimes \omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D)) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{r,s}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto T \wedge \omega : (\eta \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-r+p,d_X-s+q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto T(\omega \wedge \eta)).$$

- We have embeddings of sheaves on X^{an} $int : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$ given by integration : for $V \subset X$ an open subset,

$$\omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D)) \mapsto (\eta \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,d_X-q}) \mapsto int(\omega)(\eta) = \int_V \omega \wedge \eta)$$

These integrals are convergent because D is a normal crossing divisor. Note that they do not define an embedding of bicomplexes (they do not commute with the differentials).

Denote by $\iota^{p,q} : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D) \xrightarrow{int} \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q} \xrightarrow{r_{X,D}} \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D)$ the composition : for $V \subset X$ an open subset

$$\omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D)) \mapsto (\eta \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,d_X-q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto \iota(\omega)(\eta) = \int_V \omega \wedge \eta)$$

We have then the following

Theorem 5. *[6, Theorem 1.3.11]*

The compositions $\iota^{p,q} = r_{X,D} \circ int : \mathcal{A}_X^p(\log D)^{p,q} \to \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D)$ define an embedding of bicomplexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$\iota : \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D).$$

The bicomplex of sheaves on X^{an} $\mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D)$ is a bigraded $\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\log D)$ module by the map of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$\alpha_{r,s}^{p,q} : \mathcal{A}_X(\log D)^{r,s} \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q} \to \mathcal{D}_X^{p+r,q+r}(\log D)$$

If $(r,s) = (1,0)$, this map is given by, for $V \subset X$ open subset such that $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{d_X}$ as an open subset and $D \cap V = V(z)$, $T \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q})$, $\alpha(\frac{dz}{z} \otimes T) = r_{X,D}(\frac{T}{z} \wedge dz)$, where $\frac{T}{z} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q})$ is a current such that $z\frac{T}{z} = T$.

This bigraded module structure induces, an isomorphism of sheaves on X^{an}

$$\alpha^{p,q} := \alpha_{0,q}^{p,0} : \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{D}_X^{0,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D). \tag{21}$$

Proposition 4. *We have the folowing exact sequences of sheaves on X^{an}, they are the Dolbeau resolution of locally free sheaves of O_X modules $\Omega_X^p(\log D)$ and $\Omega^{d_X-p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ respectively :*

$$0 \to \Omega_X^p(\log D) \to \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathcal{D}_X^{p+\bullet}(\log D) = (\mathcal{D}_X^{p,\bullet}(\log D), \bar{\partial})$$

$$0 \to \Omega^{d_X-p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathrm{Gr}_F^{d_X-p} \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p+\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) = (\mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), \bar{\partial}).$$

Proof. The second resolution is given by proposition 3 (ii). The first one follows from the isomorphisms (21) of theorem 5 : $\Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{D}_X^{0,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(\log D)$. □

Proposition 5. *[6] The embeddings of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}, where F is the Frölicher filtration and F_b the filtration bête :*

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F_b) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\log D), F) \xhookrightarrow{\iota} (\mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D), F)$$

are filtered quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. It comes from the Dolbeau resolution of the sheaf $\Omega^p(\log D)$ (proposition 4). □

2.3 Degenerescence in E_1 of the Frölicher filtration for complex of differential forms whose restriction to D vanish and duality

Consider the following inclusion of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}, where F is the Frölicher filtration,

$$\tau : (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet, F), \tag{22}$$

$$\omega \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^k(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto \tau(\omega) = (\omega, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_{X,D}^k), \text{ for } V \subset X \text{ an open subset.} \tag{23}$$

Then, have the following :

Proposition 6. *(i) The restriction $\tau : (\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b) \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{X,D}^\bullet, F_b)$ of τ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves.*

(ii): Consider the embeddings of filtered complex of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$(j_!\mathcal{A}_U^\bullet, F) \xhookrightarrow{t_U^c} (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \xhookrightarrow{\tau} (\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet, F) \tag{24}$$

Then τ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism. The inclusion t_U^c is quasi-isomorphism but NOT a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

(iii) The inclusion map $\tau : (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet, F)$, is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of presheaves, that is for all open subset $V \subset X$, and all integer p the restriction

$$\tau : \Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet),$$

of τ are quasi-isomorphisms.

Proof. (i):The sequence of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$0 \to \Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{t_{X,D}} \Omega_X^p \xrightarrow{D_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} i_{D_j *}\Omega_{D_j}^p \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_s} i_{D_{1,\ldots s}*}\Omega_{D_{1\ldots s}}^p \to 0,$$

is exact. This prove (i).

(ii): By (i),

$$\tau : (\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b) \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{X,D}^\bullet, F_b)$$

of is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. On the other side,

- the inclusion $(\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ is a filtrered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves by proposition 3 (ii)
- the inclusion $(\Omega_{X,D}^\bullet, F_b) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet, F)$ is a filtrered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves by proposition 1 (ii).

Hence,

$$\tau : (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{X,D}^\bullet, F)$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. The fact that two complexes of sheaves are quasi-isomorphic to $j_!\mathbb{C}_U$ by [3]. This prove (ii).

(ii): By (ii), the inclusion maps of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$\tau : F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \hookrightarrow F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$$

are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. Thus, for all every open subset $j_V : V \hookrightarrow X$, $j_V^*\tau : j_V^*F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to j_V^*F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$ are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. Hence, for every open subset $V \subset X$, the maps

$$\tau : \mathbb{H}^\bullet(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^\bullet(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D})$$

are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. The sheaves $F^p\mathcal{A}^k_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$, $F^p\mathcal{A}^k_X$ and $i_{D_J*}F^p\mathcal{A}^k_{D_J}$ are sheaves of O_X^∞ modules on X^{an}, so are c-soft (because the existence of partition of unity) and thus acyclic for the global section functor on each open subset $V \subset X$ (X^{an} is a denombrable union of compact subsets). Hence, for every open subset $V \subset X$,

$$H^k\Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = \mathbb{H}^k(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \text{ and } H^k\Gamma(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}) = \mathbb{H}^k(V, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}).$$

This proves (iii). □

Corollary 1. *The following embeddings complexes of sheaves on X^{an} :*

- $j_*\mathbb{C}_U \hookrightarrow \Omega^\bullet_X(\log D) \xhookrightarrow{l} j_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U$ *, and*
- $j_!\mathbb{C}_U \hookrightarrow j_!\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U \xhookrightarrow{t^c_U} \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xhookrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Proof. The fact that the first sequence of inclusion are quasi-isomorphism comes from the resolution $0 \to \mathbb{C}_U \to \mathcal{A}^\bullet_U$ and the proposition 5. The fact that the second sequence of inclusion are quasi-isomorphism is given by proposition 6(ii). □

Remark 1. *Note that the embedding of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an} $l : (\Omega_X(\log D), F_b) \hookrightarrow (j_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U, F)$ is NOT a filtered quasi-isomorphism.*

Corollary 2. *Suppose $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth projective, then*

(i) the spectral sequence associated to the filtered complex $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$ by Frölicher filtration F is E^1 degenerate.

(ii) for all integer k, p, the map induced on hypercohomology of the quotient map

$$H^k\Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \to H^k\Gamma(X, \mathrm{Gr}^p_F\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = H^{k-p}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = H^{k-p}(X, \Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)),$$
$$[\omega] \mapsto [\omega^{p,k-p}] \text{ for } \omega \in \Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{A}^k_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$$

is surjective.

Proof. (i) By proposition 6 (iii), the inclusion map of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ vector spaces $\tau : (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}), F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism. On the other hand the spectral sequence associated to $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}), F)$ is E_1 degenerate (see definition 2). Thus the spectral sequence associated to $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ is E_1 degenerate.

(ii) This is a classical fact on spectral sequence that (ii) is equivalent to (i) see for example [7].

□

Definition 6. *If $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth projective, the hodge filtration on the $\mathbb{C}$ vector spaces $H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) = H^k\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D))$ and $H^k(X, D, \mathbb{C}) = H^k\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ are given by the Frölicher fitration F of the filtred complexes of sheaves on X^{an} $(\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D), F)$ and $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ respectively. The E_1 degenerescence of the Frölicher filtration (corollary 2(i) for the complex $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$), say that the following canonical surjective maps are isomorphisms :*

- $H^k\Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^pH^k(U,\mathbb{C})$
- $H^k\Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^pH^k(X, D, \mathbb{C})$.

and their F graded pieces are

- $H^{p,k-p}(U,\mathbb{C}) := F^pH^k(U,\mathbb{C})/F^{p+1}H^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,\bullet}(\log D)) = H^{k-p}(X, \Omega_X^p(\log D))$
- $H^{p,k-p}(X, D, \mathbb{C}) := F^pH^k(X, D, \mathbb{C})/F^{p+1}H^k(X, D, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = H^{k-p}(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ *(see also corollary 2 (ii)).*

The wedge product w_X (19) of bicomplexes of presheaves on X^{an} gives the morphism of filtered complex of presheaves on X^{an}

$$w_X : (\mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D), F) \otimes_{O_X} (\mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to \mathcal{D}_X^{2d_X}. \tag{25}$$

We have then the following :

Proposition 7. *If $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, the pairing of filtred complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ vector spaces :*

$$ev_X = a_{X*}w_X = <\cdot,\cdot>_{ev_X} : (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D)), F) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F) \to (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^{2d_X}), F),$$
$$T \otimes \omega \mapsto T(\omega) = a_{X*}(T \wedge \omega)$$

induces on cohomology isomorphisms

- $ev_X : H^k(U,\mathbb{C})/F^pH^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F^{d_X-p+1}H^{2d_X-k}(X, D, \mathbb{C}))^\vee$ *and*
- $ev_X : H^k(X, \Omega_X^p(\log D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d_X-k}(X, \Omega_X^{d_X-p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^\vee$.

Note that for $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^k(\log D))^{d=0}$ a closed log form and $\eta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-k}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d=0}$, we have $<[\omega],[\eta]>_{ev_X} = \int_X \omega \wedge \eta$.

Proof. The fact that the pairing induced in cohomology is non degenerated is Poincare duality for the pair (X, D) which is a morphism of mixed hodge structures since the class of the wedge product of a closed log current by a closed nul form is the cup product of the two classes (c.f.[7] for example). □

Remark 2. *If $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, the Frölicher filtration of $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\log D)), F^\bullet)$ is E_1 degenerate because it is a mixed hodge complex. On the other hand ι is a filtered quasi-isomorphism (proposition5). Thus the Frölicher filtration of $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^\bullet(\log D)), F^\bullet) := (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F^\bullet)^\vee$ is E_1 also degenerate. But the Folinger fitration on $j_*\mathcal{A}_U^\bullet$ is not E_1 degenerate and the hypercohomogogy of his graded piece $\mathbb{H}^k(X, j_*\,\mathrm{Gr}_F^p\,\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet) = H^k(U, \Omega_U^p)$ vanishes for $k > 0$ if X is affine.*

2.4 The higher Abel Jacobi map for U

Recall that for any $V \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ quasi-projective there exist $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $Y\backslash V$ is a normal crossing divisor with smooth components. In this subsection, we assume that $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth projective.

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)^{pr/X} \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)$ the subcomplex consisting of closed cycles on $U \times \square^\bullet$ such that their closure on $X \times \square^\bullet$ intersect all face properly. By Bloch, the latter is quasi-isomorphic to the former. By definition, there is an exact sequence of complexes of abelian groups

$$0 \to \mathcal{Z}^p(D,\bullet) \xrightarrow{i_{D*}} \mathcal{Z}^p(X,\bullet) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)^{pr/X} \to 0. \tag{26}$$

For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)^{pr/X}$ denote by $\bar{Z} = \sum_i n_i\bar{Z}_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ the closure of $Z = \sum_i n_iZ_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U \times \square^n)$. For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)^{pr/X}_{\partial=0}$, we have, by 26, $\partial\bar{Z} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D,\bullet) \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(X,\bullet)$.

Let

$$C_\bullet^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Cone}(C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p+\bullet}(X, D, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{D}_X^{2p+\bullet}) \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^{2p+\bullet-1}))$$

be the Deligne homology complex of X,

$$C^{\mathcal{D}}_{\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Cone}(C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p+\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Gamma(X, F^p\mathcal{D}^{2p+\bullet}_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{2p+\bullet-1}_X(\log D)))$$

be relative homology complex of (X,D), and

$$r^{\mathcal{D}}_{X,D} : C^{\mathcal{D}}_{\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathcal{D}}_{\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z}), \quad (T,\Omega,R) \mapsto (r_{X,D}(T), r_{X,D}(\Omega), r_{X,D}(R))$$

be the quotient map.

There is the classical realization maps

$$\mathcal{R}^p(X,D) : \mathcal{Z}^p(U,\bullet)^{pr/X} \to C^{\mathcal{D}}_{\bullet}(X,D,\mathbb{Z}), \quad Z \mapsto (T_Z, \Omega_Z, R_Z) := r^{\mathcal{D}}_{X,D}(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}})$$

where, c.f.[4],

- $T_Z = r_{X,D}(T_{\bar{Z}}) = \sum_i n_i \pi_X((X \times T_{\square^n}) \cap \bar{Z}_i) \in C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p+n}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})$, we have $dT_Z = T_{\partial Z}$
- $\Omega_Z = r_{X,D}(\Omega_{\bar{Z}}) : \omega \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-2p+n}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto$
  $\Omega_{\bar{Z}}(\omega) = \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_i} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \sum_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{i\epsilon}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n}$, it is a current of type $(p,p-n)$, i.e. $\Omega_Z \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{p,p-n}_X(\log D))$.
- $R_Z = r_{X,D}(R_{\bar{Z}}) : \omega \in \Gamma(X, A^{2d_X-2p+n+1}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto$
  $R_{\bar{Z}}(\omega) = \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_i} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} R_{\square^n} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \sum_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{i\epsilon}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} R_{\square^n}$,
- we have $d\Omega = \Omega_{\partial Z}$ since we have $d\Omega_{\square^n} = 2i\pi \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \Omega_{\square^n}(z_0, \cdots, \hat{z_l}, \cdots, z_n)\delta(z_l)$, and we have $dR_Z = \Omega_Z - (2i\pi)R_{\partial Z} - (2i\pi)^n T_Z$ since we have $dR_{\square^n} = \Omega_{\square^n} - 2i\pi(-1)^l \sum_{l=0}^n R_{\square^n}(z_0, \cdots, \hat{z}_l, \cdots, z_n)\delta(z_l) - (2i\pi)^n T_{\square^n}$.

The currents T_Z and Ω_Z are closed if $\partial Z = 0$ that is if $\partial\bar{Z} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D,n)$. For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X}_{\partial=0}$, the equality $dR_Z = \Omega_Z - (2i\pi)^n T_Z$ shows that $[\Omega_Z] = (2i\pi)[T_Z] \in H^{2n-p}(U,\mathbb{C})$.

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0} \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X}$ the subspace consisting of $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X}$ such that $\partial Z = 0$ and $[\Omega_Z] = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U,\mathbb{C})$, that is $\Omega_Z \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X(\log D))$ is exact. Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0}$. Then, for a choice of $d^{-1}\Omega_Z \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X(\log D))$ and of $\partial^{-1}T_Z \in C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p}(X,D)$, the current

$$R'_Z = R_Z - d^{-1}\Omega_Z - (2i\pi)^n d^{-1}T_Z \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-2p+n+1}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{\vee}$$

is closed, that is $R'_Z \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{2d_X-2p+n+1}_X(\log D))^{d=0}$.

Definition 7. *The complex analytic variety*

$$J^{p,k}(U) = H^k(U,\mathbb{C})/(F^pH^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \oplus H^k(U,\mathbb{Z}))$$

is the intermediate jacobian. By proposition 7, ev_X induces an isomorphism of complex varieties $ev_X : J^{p,k}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F^{d_X-p+1}H^{2d_X-k}(X,D,\mathbb{C}))^{\vee}/H_{2d_X-k}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})$. The map

$$AJ_U : \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0} \to \mathrm{CH}^p(U,n)^{\mathrm{hom}} \to J^{p,2p-n-1}(U), \quad Z \mapsto AJ(Z) = [R'_Z]$$

is the higher Abel Jacobi map

Proposition 8. *For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X,\mathrm{hom}}_{\partial=0}$, there exist a topological cycle $\Gamma_{\bar{Z}} \in C^{\mathrm{diff}}_{2d_X-2p+1}(X,D,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\partial\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\bar{Z}} = \bar{Z}_{\epsilon}$ for $0 < \epsilon << 1$. This gives, for $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_X=0}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(\omega) : &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{i\epsilon}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} R_{\square^n} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\bar{Z}}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n} := \int_{\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi^*_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, R_Z restrict to a closed current on the subspace $\Gamma(X, F^{d_X-p+1}\mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$, that is $R_Z \in \Gamma(X, F^{d_X-p+1}\mathcal{A}_X^{2d_X-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{\vee,d=0}$ and we have

$$AJ_U(Z) = [R'_Z] = ev_X([R_Z]). \tag{27}$$

Proof. It a straightforward generalization of [5] proposition 5.1 : for $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d=0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{i\epsilon}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^* R_{\square^n} &= \int_{\Gamma^\epsilon_{\bar{Z}}} d(\pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^* R_{\square^n}) \text{ by Stokes formula} \\ &= \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{i\epsilon}} \pi_X^*\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^* \Omega_{\square^n}\end{aligned}$$

since $d\omega = 0$, $\omega_{|D} = 0$, $dR_{\square^n} = \Omega_{\square^n} - (2i\pi)\sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l R(z_0, \cdots, \hat{z_l}, \cdots, z_n)\delta(z_l) - (2i\pi)^n T_{\square^n}$ and $\partial\bar{Z} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^p(D, n)$.

□

Proposition 9. *(i) The higher Abel Jacobi map of a smooth quasi-projective variety $V \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ is independent of a the choice of a compactification $(Y, Y\backslash V)$, $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, with $E = Y\backslash V$ a normal crossing divisor.*

(ii) The higher Abel Jacobi map is functorial in $V \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ covariantly for proper morphisms.

(iii) The higher Abel Jacobi map is functorial in $V \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ contravariantly for all morphism.

Proof. (i):Let (Y, E) and (Y', E') be two such compactification of V. Then exist another compactification (Y'', E'') together with two morphism of pairs $g : (Y'', E'') \to (Y, E)$, $g' : (Y'', E'') \to (Y', E')$ such that $g \circ j'' = j \circ I_V$ and $g' \circ j'' = j' \circ I_V$. One can take $Y'' \to \bar{\Delta}_V \subset Y \times Y'$ a desingularisation of the closure of the diagonal of V inside $Y \times Y'$.

(ii): Let $f : U \to V$ be proper morphism. Then there exists a compactification $\bar{f} : X \to Y$ of f such that $\bar{f}(D) \subset E$. That is $\bar{f}$ induces a morphism of pair $\bar{f} : (X, D) \to (Y, E)$ and $\bar{f} \circ j = j \circ f$. Then, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X}$, we have

$$f_*(T_Z, \Omega_Z, R_Z) = r_{Y,E}(T_{\bar{f}_*\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{f}_*\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{f}_*\bar{Z}}).$$

(iii): Let $h : U \to V$ be any morphism and $\bar{h} : X \to Y$ be any compactification of h. That is $\bar{h} \circ j = j \circ h$. Let

$$\mathcal{Z}^p(V, \bullet)^{pr/Y, pr/h} \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(V, \bullet)^{pr/Y}$$

be the subcomplex of abelian group consiting of cycles $Z = \sum_i n_i Z_i$ such that $\mathrm{codim}(\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z}_i), X) = p$ for all i and such that $h^{-1}(Z) := \sum_i n_i h^{-1}(Z_i) \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X}$ (that is whose closure in X intersect all faces of $X \times \square^n$ properly). By Bloch this inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism. Then, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(V, n)^{pr/Y, pr/h}$, considering $\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z}) := \sum_i n_i \bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z}_i) \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$, we have

- $\mathrm{supp}(\overline{h^{-1}(Z)}) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z}))$ and $(T_{h^{-1}(Z)}, \Omega_{h^{-1}(Z)}, R_{h^{-1}(Z)}) = r_{X,D}(T_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, \Omega_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, R_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})})$,
- $\bar{h}^*(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}}) = (T_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, \Omega_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, R_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})})$, see [6] for the definition of the pullback or Gynsin map for current, and $\bar{h}^*[(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}})] = [\bar{h}^*(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}})] = [(T_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, \Omega_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, R_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})})]$.

Hence, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(V, n)^{pr/Y, pr/h}_{\partial=0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}h^*[(T_Z, \Omega_Z, R_Z)] = r_{X,D}\bar{h}^*[(T_{\bar{Z}}, \Omega_{\bar{Z}}, R_{\bar{Z}})] &= [r_{X,D}(T_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, \Omega_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})}, R_{\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{Z})})] \\ &= [(T_{h^{-1}(Z)}, \Omega_{h^{-1}(Z)}, R_{h^{-1}(Z)})] \in H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d_X-2p+n}(X, D).\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Relative Higher Abel Jacobi map for open morphism and infinitesimal invariants

Let $X, S \in \mathrm{SmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : X \to S$ be a smooth projective morphism. Consider $U \subset X$ an open subset such that $D = X \backslash U$ has the property that $D_s \subset X_s$ is a normal crossing divisor (with smooth components) for all $s \in S$. Denote by $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion and $f_U = f \circ j : U \to S$. Let $d = d_X - d_S$.

3.1 The Leray fitration on the complexes of sheaves $\mathcal{A}_X(\log D)$, $\mathcal{A}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ and $\mathcal{D}_X(\log D)$ on X^{an}

The exact sequence of sheaves on X^{an}: $0 \to f^*\Omega^1_S \to \Omega^1_X \to \Omega^1_{X/S} \to 0$ gives the following exact sequences of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_D \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega^1_S \to \Omega^1_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \Omega^1_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to 0 \tag{28}$$

Definition 8. *The complex of sheaves on X^{an} $\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ is clearly a graded ideal of $\Omega^\bullet_X$ (see also 2 for a stronger result). The Leray filtration on the complexes of sheaves on X^{an} : $\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \Omega^\bullet_X \subset \Omega^\bullet_X(\log D)$. is then defined by*

- $L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := f^*\Omega^r_S \wedge \Omega^{p-r}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$, $\Omega^p_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L\, \Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$
- $L^r\Omega^p_X(\log D) := f^*\Omega^r_S \wedge \Omega^{p-r}_X(\log D)$, $\Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D) := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L\, \Omega^p_X(\log D)$

The (holomorphic) Leray filtrations on the bicomplexes $(\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), \partial, \bar\partial) \subset (\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X, \partial, \bar\partial) \subset (\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D), \partial, \bar\partial)$ of sheaves on X^{an} are then defined by :

- $L^r\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \wedge \mathcal{A}^{0,q}_X \subset \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$, $\quad L^r\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X := L^r\Omega^p_X \wedge \mathcal{A}^{0,q}_X \subset \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X$,
- $L^r\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\log D) := L^r\Omega^p_X(\log D) \wedge \mathcal{A}^{0,q}_X \subset \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\log D)$.

We denote $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L\, \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$, $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_{X/S} := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L\, \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X$ and $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_{X/S}(\log D) := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L\, \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\log D)$. their first graded pieces.

This gives the (holomorphic) Leray fitration on its total complex $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D), d)$.

Remark 3. *Note that the holomorphic Leray fitrations $L^r\mathcal{A}^k_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) = f^*\Omega^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset f^*\mathcal{A}^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ $L^r\mathcal{A}^k_X = f^*\Omega^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X \subset f^*\mathcal{A}^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X$ $L^r\mathcal{A}^k_X(\log D) = f^*\Omega^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X(\log D) \subset f^*\mathcal{A}^r_S \wedge \mathcal{A}^{k-r}_X(\log D)$ are include in the differential Leray filtration but not equal since we only pullback from S forms with zero anti-holomorphic part.*

Proposition 10. *We get the following inclusions of bifiltered complexes of sheaves on X^{an} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L) & \hookrightarrow & (\Omega^\bullet_X, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D), L) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X, F, L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D), F, L).
\end{array}$$

Proof. By definition, the inclusion of complexes and bicomplexes of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \Omega^\bullet_X \subset \Omega^\bullet_X(\log D) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \subset \mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D).$$

are by definition compatible with the Leray filtration (even strictly compatible). □

Proposition 11. *Taking interior product gives the following identifications of sheaves on X^{an} : for $0 \leq r \leq d_S$ and $0 \leq r \leq 2d_S$ respectively*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi^{r,p} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \, \Omega_X^p(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X/S}^{p-r}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r \ , & \phi^{r,p,q} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{p-r,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \phi^{r,p} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \, \Omega_X^p(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X/S}^{p-r}(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r & \phi^{r,p,q} : \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{p-r,q}(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega_S^r \end{array} \tag{29}$$

which are induced by, for $V \subset X$ an open subset,

$$\omega \in \Gamma(V, L^r\Omega_X^p(\log D)) \mapsto (u \in \Gamma(V, f^*(\wedge^r T_S)) \mapsto < \iota(\tilde{u})\omega > \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_{X/S}^{p-r}(\log D)),$$
$$\omega \in \Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D)) \mapsto (u \in \Gamma(V, f^*(\wedge^r T_S)) \mapsto < \iota(\tilde{u})\omega > \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{p-r,q}(\log D)),$$

where $\tilde{u} \in \Gamma(V, \wedge^r T_X)$ is a relevement of u, that is satisfy $df(\tilde{u}) = u$ and $< \cdot >: L^r\mathcal{A}_X(\log D) \to \mathrm{Gr}_L^r \, \mathcal{A}_X(\log D)$ denote the quotient class map for the Leray filtration. These maps are independent of the choice of a relevement $\tilde{u}$ since ω is in L^r (thus the interior product by a wedge product of vector fields tangent to the fibers of f vanishes).

Proof. The only thing that is perhaps non trivial is that for $\omega = \omega^p \wedge \omega^{0,q} \in \Gamma(V, L^1\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ and $u \in \Gamma(V, f^*T_S)$, $< \iota(\tilde{u})\omega > \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{p-1,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$. We have, since $\iota(\tilde{u})\omega^{0,q} = 0$ for type reason,

$$\iota(\tilde{u})\omega = \iota(\tilde{u})\omega^p \wedge \omega^{0,q} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{p-1,q}).$$

We have to prove that $\iota(\tilde{u})\omega^p \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{p-1})$ vanishes on the fibers $D_s = X_s \cap D \subset X$ of $f_D : D \to S$. This comes from the fact that D is transversal to the fibers of f. Indeed, let $x \in D$ and $u_{D,f}^{p-1} \in \wedge^{p-1} T_{D_s,x}$ with $s = f(x)$. Since D is transversal to the fibers of f, $T_xX = Vect(T_xD, T_xX_{f(x)})$. Hence, there exist $\lambda_D, \lambda_f \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{u}(x) = \lambda_D\tilde{u}_D + \lambda_f\tilde{u}_f$ with $\tilde{u}_D \in T_xD$ and $\tilde{u}_f \in T_xX_{f(x)}$. This gives

$$\tilde{u}(x) \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1} = \lambda_D\tilde{u}_D \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1} + \lambda_f\tilde{u}_f \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1}$$

Now,

- since $\omega^p \in \Gamma(V, L^1\Omega_X^p)$, $\omega^p_{|X_{f(x)}} = 0$, hence $\omega^p(x)(\lambda_f\tilde{u}_f \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1}) = 0$ (this says that $\iota(\tilde{u})\omega$ does not depends of the choice of the relevement $\tilde{u}$ of u).
- since $\omega^p_{|D} = 0$, $\omega^p(x)(\lambda_D\tilde{u}_D \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1}) = 0$.

Thus, $\iota(\tilde{u})\omega^p(x)(u_{D,f}^{p-1}) = \omega^p(x)(\tilde{u}(x) \wedge u_{D,f}^{p-1}) = 0$. This shows that $(\iota(\tilde{u})\omega^p)_{|D_s} = 0$. Hence, $(\iota(\tilde{u})\omega^p)_{|D} = f^*\gamma \wedge \eta_D^{p-1} \in \Gamma(V \cap D, L^1\Omega_D^p)$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma(f(V), \Omega_S)$ and $\eta_D \in \Gamma(V \cap D, \Omega_D)$, and thus

$$(\iota(\tilde{u})\omega)_{|D} = f_D^*\gamma \wedge \eta_D^{p-1} \wedge \gamma_{|D}^{0,q} \in \Gamma(V \cap D, L^1\mathcal{A}_D^{p,q}).$$

Now, shrinking $V \subset X$ if necessary, there exist $\eta \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{p-1})$ such that $\eta_{|D} = \eta_D$. Take $\omega' = f^*\gamma \wedge \eta^{p-1} \in \Gamma(V, L^1\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q})$. Then $\iota(\tilde{u})\omega - \omega' \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ and

$$< \iota(\tilde{u})\omega > = < \iota(\tilde{u})\omega - \omega' > \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{p-1,q}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)).$$

□

Remark 4. *The maps $\phi^{r,p}$ and $\phi^{r,p,q}$ define morphism of complexes $\phi^{r,\bullet}$ and $\phi^{r,\bullet,\bullet}$. Indeed, recall that for $\eta \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D))$ and $v \in \Gamma(V, \wedge^r T_X)$, we have $d\iota(v)\eta = \iota(v)d\eta + L_v\eta$, where L_v is the Lie derivative. Now if $\omega \in \Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D))$, we have*

$$\phi(d_{X/S} < \omega >)(u) = \phi(< d\omega >)(u) = < \iota(\tilde{u})d\omega > = < d\iota(\tilde{u})\omega > = d_{X/S} < \iota(\tilde{u})\omega >,$$

since $L_{\tilde{u}}\omega \in \Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\log D))$.

The Leray filtration is compatible with proposition 3 :

Proposition 12. *(i) The wedge product induces an isomorphism of filtered complexes of sheaves on* X^{an}

$$w_X : (\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L)$$

(ii) The inclusion of bifiltered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$(\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L),$$

is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (i): By proposition 3(i), it is a morphism of complex. It is an isomorphism by definition.
(ii): This comes from (i) : we have the Dolbeau resolutions

$$0 \to L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} (\mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X, \bar\partial) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L^r\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), \bar\partial).$$

□

We now give the definition of the Leray filtration on complexes of currents :

Definition 9. *The Leray filtration the logaritmic complex of sheaves of currents* $(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D), \partial, \bar\partial)$ *on* X^{an} *is :*

$$L^r\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(\log D) := \alpha^{p,q}(L^r\Omega^p_X(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{D}^{0,q}_X) \subset \mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(\log D).$$

By definition, the wegde product w_X (19) is compatible with the Leray filtration on gives the morphism of filtered complexes of presheaves on X^{an} :

$$w_X : (\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\log D), L^r) \otimes_{O_X} (\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L^s) \to (\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_X, L^{r+s})$$

. In particular it induces on the first graded piece the morphism of presheaves on X^{an}

$$< w_X >: \mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D)) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{X/S}. \tag{30}$$

Remark 5. *For* $j_V : V \hookrightarrow X$ *an open subset, the pairing*

$$f_{V!}j_V^* w_X : (\Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(\log D))/L^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}^{d-p,d-q}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)/L_1) \to \mathbb{C}, \;\; T \otimes \omega \mapsto f_{V!}(T \wedge \omega)$$

shows that $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}^{p,q}_{X/S}(\log(D)) = \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{A}^{d-p,d-q}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^\vee$. *That is,* $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_{X/S}(\log D) := \mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(\log D)/L_1$ *is the verdier dual* $\mathcal{A}^{d-p,d-q}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)/L_1$.

Proposition 13. *For all integer* $0 \le r \le d_X$, *the Dolbeau resolutions of proposition 4 induces resolutions*

- *(i)* $0 \to L^r\Omega^p_X(\log D) \to L^r\mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_X(\log D)$
- *(ii)* $0 \to L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to L^r\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$

Proof. The second resolution is given by proposition 12(ii). The first one follows from the isomorphisms $\alpha^{p,q} : L^r\Omega^p_X(\log D) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{D}^{0,\bullet}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} L^r\mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_X(\log D)$. □

Proposition 14. *The following embeddings of bifiltered complexes of sheaves on* X^{an} *:*

$$(\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D), F^\bullet, L^\bullet) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D), F^\bullet, L^\bullet) \xhookrightarrow{\iota} (\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D), F^\bullet, L^\bullet)\ ,$$

is a bifiltred quasi isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. In particular,

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F^\bullet_b) \to (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F^\bullet) \xrightarrow{<\iota>} (\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F^\bullet),$$

where $<\iota>$ *is the morphism induced by* ι *on* Gr^0_L, *are filtered quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. This comes from proposition 13(i). □

Definition 10. *Leray filtration on the complex of sheaves on X^{an} $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$ is given by $L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D} := \mathrm{Cone}(i_D^* : L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X \to L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet})[-1] \subset \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$, that is for $V \subset X$ an open subset*

$$\Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}) = \mathrm{Cone}(i^*_{D_\bullet} : \Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X)) \to \Gamma((V \cap D)_\bullet, L^r\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}) \subset \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D})$$

is the subcomplex whose terms are $\Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}^k_{X,D}) = \Gamma(V, L^r\mathcal{A}^k_X) \oplus (\oplus_J \Gamma(V \cap D_J, L^r\mathcal{A}^{k-\mathrm{card}\, J}_{D_J})) \subset \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}^k_{X,D})$. We will consider the complex of sheaf on X^{an} $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D/S} := \mathrm{Gr}^0_L \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$ Since the morphisms $f : X \to S$ and $f_D : D \to S$ are smooth projective, the spectral sequence associated to the Frölicher filtration $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$ on this complex is E_1 degenerate. of sheaves on S is E_1 degenerate.

Proposition 15. *(i) The wedge product induces an isomorphism of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}*

$$w_X : (\Omega^p_{X,D}, L) \otimes_{O_X} \mathcal{A}^{0,\bullet}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X,D}, L)$$

(ii) The inclusion of bifiltered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{X,D}, F_b, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L),$$

is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (i): This is a morphism of complexes by proposition 1(i).
(ii): It follow from (i).We can also see (ii) directly : we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega^\bullet_X, F_b, L) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{D_\bullet}} & (a_{D_\bullet}\Omega^\bullet_{D_\bullet}, F_b, L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X, F, L) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{D_\bullet}} & (a_{D_\bullet}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{D_\bullet}, F, L) \end{array} \tag{31}$$

where the columns are bifiltered quasi-isomorphisms. □

3.2 E_1 degeneresence and duality in the relative case

The inclusion (22) of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an} $\tau : (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F)$ is by definition compatible with the Leray filtration. Hence τ is an inclusion of bi filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$\tau : (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L).$$

Denote by $<\tau>: (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$ the map induced on Gr^0_L. Similary $t^c_U : (j_!\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L)$ (c.f proposition 6) and $l : (\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D), L) \hookrightarrow (j_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U, L)$ (c.f corollary 1) are inclusions of filtred complexes of sheaves on X^{an}. Then,

Proposition 16. *(i) The restriction $\tau : (\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), L) \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{X,D}, L)$ of τ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of sheaves.*

(ii): Consider embeddings of bifiltered complex of sheaves on X^{an} given by 24 :

$$(j_!\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U, F, L) \xhookrightarrow{t^c_U} (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \xhookrightarrow{\tau} (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L)$$

Then τ is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism of sheaves. It induces the maps of filtered complex of sheaves on X^{an} :

$$(j_!\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S}, F) \xrightarrow{<t^c_U>} (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \xrightarrow{<\tau>} (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$$

where $< t_U^c >$ *are the morphism induced by* t_U^c *on* Gr_L^0 *and* $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S} = j^*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S} = \mathrm{Gr}_L^0\,\mathcal{A}^\bullet_U$. *In particular,* $< \tau >$ *is a filtered quasi-isomorphism. The inclusion* $< t_U^c >$ *is quasi-isomorphism but NOT a filtered quasi-isomorphism.*

(iii) The inclusion map $\tau : (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L)$, *is a bi-filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of presheaves, that is for all open subset* $V \subset X$, *and for all integers* p, r *the restriction*

$$\tau : \Gamma(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D})$$

of τ *are quasi-isomorphisms.*

Proof. (i): The sequence of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$0 \to L^r\Omega^p_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{t_{X,D}} L^r\Omega^p_X \xrightarrow{D_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} i_{D_j*}L^r\Omega^p_{D_j} \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_s} i_{D_{1,\ldots s}*}L^r\Omega^p_{D_{1\ldots s}} \to 0, \tag{32}$$

is exact. This prove (i).

(ii): By (i),

$$\tau : (\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b, L) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^\bullet_{X,D}, F_b, L)$$

of is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. On the other side,

- the inclusion $(\Omega^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F_b, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L)$ is a bifiltrered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves by proposition 12 (ii)
- the inclusion $(\Omega^\bullet_{X,D}, F_b, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L)$ is a bifiltrered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves by proposition 15 (ii).

Hence,

$$\tau : (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}, F, L)$$

is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. This prove (ii).

(ii): By (ii), the inclusion maps of complexes of sheaves on X^{an}

$$\tau : L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \hookrightarrow L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$$

are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. Thus, for all every open subset $j_V : V \hookrightarrow X$, $j_V^*\tau : j_V^*L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to j_V^*L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}$ are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. Hence, for every open subset $V \subset X$, the maps

$$\tau : \mathbb{H}^\bullet(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^\bullet(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D})$$

are quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. The sheaves $L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^k_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$, $L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^k_X$ and $i_{D_J*}L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^k_{D_J}$ are sheaves of O_X^∞ modules on X^{an}, so are c-soft (because the existence of partition of unity) and thus acyclic for the global section functor on each open subset $V \subset X$ (X^{an} is a denombrable union of compact subsets). Hence, for every open subset $V \subset X$,

$$H^k\Gamma(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = \mathbb{H}^k(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \text{ and } H^k\Gamma(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}) = \mathbb{H}^k(V, L^rF^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X,D}).$$

This proves (iii).

□

Corollary 3. *The following maps of complexes of sheaves on* X^{an} *:*

- $j_*f_U^*O_S \to \Omega^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D) \xrightarrow{<l>} j_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S}$, *and*
- $j_!f_U^*O_S \to j_!\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S} \xrightarrow{<t_U^c>} \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{<\tau>} \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Proof. The fact that the maps of the first sequence are quasi-isomorphism comes from the resolution $0 \to f_U^* O_S \to \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S}$. The fact that the maps of the second sequence are quasi-isomorphism is given by proposition 16(ii) □

Corollary 4. *(i) The spectral sequence associated to the filtred complex of sheaves on* S^{an} $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)), F)$ *by Frölicher filtration* F *is* E^1 *degenerate.*

(ii)For all integer k, p*, the map induced on relative hypercohomology of the quotient map* $F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathrm{Gr}_F^p\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$

$$\mathcal{H}^k f_* F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathcal{H}^k f_* \,\mathrm{Gr}_F^p\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = \mathcal{H}^{k-p} f_* \mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) = R^{k-p} f_* \Omega^p_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)),$$

given by for $W \subset S$ *an open subset and* $\omega \in \Gamma(X_W, F^p\mathcal{A}^k_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$*,*

$$[\omega] \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^k F^p \mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \;\mapsto\; [\omega^{p,k-p}] \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^{k-p}\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$$

is surjective.

Proof. (i) By proposition 16 (iii), the map of complexes of sheaves on $X^{an} < \tau >$: $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of presheaves. Hence, the map of complexes of sheaves on S^{an} $f_* < \tau >$: $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes presheaves, hence a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves. On the other hand the spectral sequence associated to the complex of sheaves $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{(X,D)/S}, F)$ is E_1 degenerate (see definition 10). Thus the spectral sequence associated to $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ is E_1 degenerate.

(ii) This is a classical fact on spectral sequence that (ii) is equivalent to (i) see for example [7].

□

Denote by $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U) := R^k f_{U*}\mathbb{Z}_U$, $H^k_{\mathbb{C}}(f_U) := R^k f_{U*}\mathbb{C}_U$, and by $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D}) := R^k f_{U!}\mathbb{Z}_U$, $H^k_{\mathbb{C}}(f_{X,D}) := R^k f_{U!}\mathbb{C}_U$. For $s \in S$, since the fiber $U_s \subset U$ is closed in U^{an} and U^{an} is paracompact, we have $(R^k f_{U*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(U_s, \mathbb{C})$. We have the canonical quasi isomorphism $Rf_{X,D*}\mathbb{C} = Rf_{U!}\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Cone}(Rf_*\mathbb{C} \to Rf_{D*}\mathbb{C})[-1]$. On the other hand, $(R^k f_{X*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_s, \mathbb{C})$ and $(R^k f_{D*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(D_s, \mathbb{C})$ since the fibers $X_s \subset X$ and $D_s \subset D$ are closed in X^{an} and D^{an} respectively and X^{an} and D^{an} are compact (hence paracompact). Hence, for $s \in S$, $(R^k f_{X,D*}\mathbb{C})_s \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{C})$.

In our situation, the $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U)$ and the $H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D})$ are local systems on S^{an} because the maps $f : X \to S$ and $f_{D_J} : D_J \to S$ are smooth projective. For $0 \le k \le 2d$ (otherwise the sheaves are zero), the sheaves of O_S modules $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) := H^k_{\mathbb{C}}(f_U) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_S} O_S = R^k f_{U*}\mathbb{C}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_S} O_S$ are locally free and we will denote again $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)$ the corresponding holomorphic vector bundles on S For $0 \le k \le 2d$ (otherwise the sheaves are zero), the sheaves of O_S modules $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) := H^k_{\mathbb{C}}(f_{X,D}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_S} O_S = R^k f_{U!}\mathbb{C}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_S} O_S$ are locally free and we will denote again $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})$ the corresponding holomorphic vector bundles on S.

Proposition 17. *We have the following isomorphisms of sheaves on* S^{an} *:*

- $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^k f_{U*}(f^*O_S) = \mathcal{H}^k f_{U*}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S} = \mathcal{H}^k f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D) = \mathcal{H}^k f_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D)$
- $R^k f_{U!}(f^*O_S) = \mathcal{H}^k f_{U!}\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{U/S} = \mathcal{H}^k f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})$.

Proof. These two isomorphism are given by the two projection formula. The equalities comes from corollary 3. □

Remark 6. *In our situation, since* $H^k(f_U)$ *and* $H^k(f_{X,D})$ *are local systems, these isomorphisms can be explicited in common local trivialisations of the differentially locally trivial maps* $f : X^{an} \to S^{an}$*,* $f_{D_J} : D_J^{an} \to S^{an}$*.*

Definition 11. *The Hodge filtrations on the vector bundles $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)$ and $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})$ is the one given by the Frölicher filtration F on the complexes of sheaves on S^{an} $f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D)$ and $f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ respectively. By the E_1 degenerescence of the spectral sequences associated to $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F)$ and $(f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$(corollary 4(i)), the following canonical surjective maps of sheaves on S^{an} are isomorphisms*

- $\mathcal{H}^k f_* F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D) = \mathcal{H}^k f_* F^p\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)$
- $\mathcal{H}^k f_* F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})$

and their graded pieces are

- $\mathcal{H}^{p,k-p}_S(f_U) := F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)/F^{p+1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{p-k} f_*\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D) = \mathcal{H}^{p-k} f_*\mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D) = R^{k-p}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D)$
- $\mathcal{H}^{p,k-p}_S(f_{X,D}) := F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})/F^{p+1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{p-k} f_*\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) = R^{k-p}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)$ *(see also corollary 4(ii)).*

The wedge product (17) is a bifiltered morphism of complexes of presheaves on X^{an} :

$$w_X : (\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D), F, L) \otimes_{O_X} (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F, L) \to \mathcal{D}^\bullet_X$$

and induces the pairings of filtered complexes of presheaves on S^{an}:

- $ev_f = f_* < w_X > = < \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f} : (f_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F) \otimes_{O_S} (f_*\mathcal{A}^{2d-\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{D}^{2d}_{X/S}, F)$, given by, for $W \subset S$, $T\otimes\omega \in \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{A}^{2d-\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto < T, \omega >_{ev_f} = f_{X_W *}(T\wedge\omega)$
- $f_* ev_X = f_* w_X = < \cdot, \cdot >_{f_* w_X} : (f_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2, F) \otimes_{O_S} (f_* L^{d_S-1}\mathcal{A}^{2d_X-\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{D}^{2d_X}_X, F)$, given by, for $W \subset S$, $T \otimes \omega \in \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{A}^{2d_X-\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) \mapsto < T, \omega >_{f_* ev_X} = f_{X_W *}(T \wedge \omega)$.

Proposition 18. *(i) The pairing of filtered complexes of presheaves on S^{an}:*

$ev_f = < \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f} : (f_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F) \otimes_{O_S} (f_*\mathcal{A}^{2d-\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{D}^{2d}_{X/S}, F)$,

induces on cohomology isomorphisms of sheaves on S^{an} (see definition 11):

- $ev_f : \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)/F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^\vee_{O_S}(\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}))$ *and*
- $ev_f : \mathcal{H}^{p,k-p}_S(f_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^\vee_{O_S}(\mathcal{H}^{d-p,d-k}_S(f_{X,D}))$.

(ii) The pairing of filtered complexes of presheaves on S^{an}:

$f_* ev_X = < \cdot, \cdot >_{f_* ev_X} : (f_*(\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2), F) \otimes_{O_S} (f_* L^{d_S-1}\mathcal{A}^{2d_X-\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) \to (f_*\mathcal{D}^{2d_X}_X, F)$

induces on cohomology isomorphisms of sheaves on S^{an}:

$$f_* ev_X : R^q f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{d-q} f_*(L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)).$$

Proof. (i):As these sheaves on S^{an} are locally free sheaves of O_S modules, it suffices to show that the evaluation of the induced maps at every point $s \in S$ are isomorphisms. But this is Poincare duality for the pair (X_s, D_s) (c.f proposition 7).

(ii): As in (i), since these sheaves on S^{an} are locally free sheaves of O_S modules, it suffices to show that the evaluation of the induced maps at every point $s \in S$ are isomorphisms. But this is Serre duality for X_s since

$$\Omega^p_X(\log D)_{|X_s} = D^\vee_{O_{X_s}}(\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)_{|X_s}) \otimes K_{X|X_s} = D^\vee_{O_{X_s}}(\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)_{|X_s}) \otimes K_{X_s} \tag{33}$$

by proposition 2(ii) for X, and the fact that $K_{X|X_s} \simeq K_{X_s}$.

□

Proposition 19. *For $s \in S$ and*

- $T \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ *and* $T' \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D))^{\bar\partial_{X/S}=0}$*, whose restriction to the fibers of f is proper (c.f.[6] for the definition of the pullback or Gynsin map for currents)*
- $\eta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^{2d-\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ *and* $\eta' \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^{d-\bullet,d-\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{\bar\partial_{X/S}=0}$*,*

where $W(s) \subset S$ is a neighborhood of s in S, we have

$$< T, \eta >_{ev_f}(s) = X_s \cdot T(\eta) =< T_{|X_s}, \eta_{|X_s} >_{ev_{X_s}} \quad \text{and} \quad < T', \eta' > (s) = X_s \cdot T'(\eta') =< T'_{|X_s}, \eta'_{|X_s} >_{ev_{X_s}} .$$

This gives on cohomology

$$< [T], [\eta] >_{ev_f}(s) =< [T](s), [\eta](s) >_{ev_{X_s}} \quad \text{and} \quad < [T'], [\eta'] > (s) =< [T'](s), [\eta'](s) >_{ev_{X_s}} .$$

In particular, if $\omega \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ and $\omega' \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D))^{\bar\partial_{X/S}=0}$ are log forms, then $< [\omega], [\eta] > (s) = \int_{X_s} \omega \wedge \eta$ and $< [\omega'], [\eta'] > (s) = \int_{X_s} \omega' \wedge \eta')$.

Proof. See [6, proposition 3.2.2]. □

3.3 The Gauss-Manin connexion

We have the the commutative diagram of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an}, F being the Frölicher filtration on F_b the filtration bte,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \Omega^\bullet_X(\log D), F_b) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2, F_b) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\Omega^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F_b) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D), F) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2, F) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D), F) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\mathcal{D}^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2, F) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{34}$$

where the row are by definition exact sequences of filtered complexes (the embedding $r^\vee = \mathrm{Gr}^1_L \hookrightarrow L^0/L^2$ is the quotient of the inclusion $L^1 \subset L^0$ by L^2 and $q : L^0/L^2 \to \mathrm{Gr}^0_L$ is the projection) and the column are filtered quasi-isomorphisms by proposition 14.

Consider also the commutative diagram of filtered complexes of sheaves on X^{an} whose rows are exact :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)/L^2, F) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X, F) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X/L^2, F) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}, F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D), F) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D)/L^2, F) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D), F) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{35}$$

Definition 12. *The Gauss Manin connexions of the bundles $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)$, $\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D})$ respectively, are induced by the connecting morphism associated to the long cohomological exact sequence of last, respectively first, row of the diagram (35)*

- $\nabla : F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \to \mathcal{H}^{k+1} f_* \,\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\log D) = F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S$*,*

- $\nabla : F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) \to \mathcal{H}^{k+1} f_* \operatorname{Gr}^1_L F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_X(\operatorname{nul} D) = F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S$,

where the above equalities are given by the identifications (29) of propsition 11 (see also remark 4) and by the projection formula (X^{an} being paracompact the canonical map of sheaves on S^{an} $f_*F \otimes_{C_X} G \to f_*(F \otimes f^*G)$ is an isomorphism).

Hence, for $W \subset S$ an open subset, $\omega \in \Gamma(W, f_*F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D))^{d_{X/S}=0} = \Gamma(X_W, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$, $\eta \in \Gamma(W, f_*F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{d_{X/S}=0} = \Gamma(X_W, F^p\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ and $u \in \Gamma(W, T_S)$,

$$\nabla_u([\omega]) = \phi^{1,\bullet,\bullet}([d\omega]) = [< \iota(\tilde{u})d\omega >] \text{ and } \nabla_u([\eta]) = \phi^{1,\bullet,\bullet}([d\eta]) = [< \iota(\tilde{u})d\eta >],$$

where $\tilde{u} \in \Gamma(X_W, T_X)$ is a relevement of u (i.e. $df(\tilde{u}) = u$).

Remark 7. *The diagram (35) and the identifications (29) of proposition 11 induces the commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \nabla : F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_{X,D}) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
\nabla : F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S & & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \nabla : F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S
\end{array} \tag{36}$$

Definition-Proposition 1. *Let $\bar{\nabla}$ the morphism induced by ∇ on graded pieces.*

- $\bar{\nabla} : \mathcal{H}^{p,k-p}_S(f_U) \to \mathcal{H}^{p-1,k-p+1}_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S$
- $\bar{\nabla} : \mathcal{H}^{p,k-p}_S(f_{X,D}) \to \mathcal{H}^{p-1,k-p+1}_S(f_{X,D}) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S$,

Then, for $W \subset S$ an open subset, $\omega' \in \Gamma(W, f_*\mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}_{X/S}(\log D))^{\bar{\partial}_{X/S}=0} = \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D))^{\bar{\partial}_{X/S}=0}$, $\eta' \in \Gamma(W, f_*\mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{\bar{\partial}_{X/S}=0} = \Gamma(X_W, \mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{\bar{\partial}_{X/S}=0}$ and $u \in \Gamma(W, T_S)$,

$$\bar{\nabla}([\omega']) = \phi^{1,\bullet,\bullet}(\bar{\partial}\omega') = [< \iota(\tilde{u})\bar{\partial}\omega' >] \text{ and } \bar{\nabla}([\eta']) = \phi^{1,\bullet,\bullet}(\bar{\partial}\eta') = [< \iota(\tilde{u})\bar{\partial}\eta' >]$$

Proof. This follows from corollary 4(ii) and the description of the morphism ∇. □

Proposition 20. *For simplicity of notation denote by $< \cdot, \cdot >=< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f}$.*

(i) We have, for $s \in S$, $u \in T_{S,s}$, $\lambda \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U))$ and $\mu \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}^{2d-k}_S(f_{X,D}))$, where $W(s) \subset S$ is an open neighborhood of s in S :

$$< \nabla_u\lambda, \mu > (s) = d_u < \lambda, \mu > (s) - < \lambda, \nabla_u\mu > (s)$$

(ii) The pairing $ev_f =< \cdot, \cdot >$ induces isomorphisms

$$ev_f : \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_S(f_U) \otimes \Omega_S / \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\nabla}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{H}^{d-p,d-q}_S(f_{X,D}) \otimes T_S)^{\,{}^t\bar{\nabla}=0}$$

where ${}^t\bar{\nabla}(\mu \otimes u) = \bar{\nabla}_u\mu$.

Proof. (i): Shrinking $W(s)$ if necessary, there exist closed forms $\omega \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^k_{X/S}(\log D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}^{2d-k}_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ such that $[\omega] = \lambda$ and $[\eta] = \mu$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_u < \lambda, \mu > (s) &= d_u < [\omega], [\eta] > (s) \\
&= d_u(s' \mapsto \int_{X_{s'}} \omega \wedge \eta)(s) = \int_{X_s} \iota(\tilde{u}) d(\omega \wedge \eta) \\
&= \int_{X_s} (\iota(\tilde{u})d\omega) \wedge \eta + \int_{X_s} \omega \wedge (\iota(\tilde{u})d\eta) =< \nabla_u[\omega], [\eta] > (s) + < [\omega], \nabla_u[\eta] > (s)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii): If $\lambda' \in \Gamma(W(s), F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U))$ and $\mu' \in \Gamma(W(s), F^{k-p+1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U))$ we have $<\lambda', \mu'>=0$ as Poincare duality for the pair (X_s, D_s) is a morphism of mixed hodge structures. Hence by (i), $<\nabla_u\lambda', \mu'>=<\lambda', \nabla_u\mu'>$. Thus, $<\bar{\nabla}_u\bar{\lambda}', \bar{\mu}'>=<\bar{\lambda}', \bar{\nabla}_u\bar{\mu}'>$. Point (ii) follows from this equality.

□

The F graded piece of first and last rows of the diagram (34) is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{p-1}_{X/S}(\log D) \otimes f^*\Omega_S & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & \Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2 & \xrightarrow{q} & \Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{p-1,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D) \otimes f^*\Omega_S & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & \mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_X/L^2 & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{37}$$

Dually to this diagram we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{d-p}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) & \xrightarrow{q^\vee} & L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) & \xrightarrow{r} & \Omega^{d-p+1}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*T_S & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{d-p,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) & \xrightarrow{q^\vee} & L^{d_S-1}\mathcal{A}^{d_X-p,\bullet}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{A}^{d-p+1,\bullet}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*T_S & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{38}$$

whose rows are by definition exact sequence of complexes of sheaves, where

- $q^\vee : \omega \mapsto \omega \wedge f^*\kappa$ is the dual of q,
- $r : L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \to \mathrm{Gr}^{d_S-1}_L \Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{d-p+1}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D) \otimes_{O_X} f^*\Omega^{d_S-1}_S$ is the dual of $r^\vee$,

and whose columns are quasi-isomorphism by proposition 13(the Dolbeau resolutions).

The maps $ev_f = f_* < w_X >$ and $f_* w_X$ induces a pairing between the images by f_* of the second rows of the diagramms (37) and (38) of sheaves on X^{an}. Thus, by proposition 18, it induces an isomorphism between the two long cohomological exact sequences of these two exact sequences :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \bar{\nabla}\mathcal{H}^{p-1,q}_S(f_U) \otimes f^*\Omega_S & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & R^q f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2) & \xrightarrow{q^\vee} & \mathcal{H}^{p-1,q}(f_U) & \\ & \sim \downarrow ev_f & & \sim \downarrow f_* w_X & & \sim \downarrow ev_f & \\ \longrightarrow & D^{({}^t\bar{\nabla})^\vee}_{O_S}(\mathcal{H}^{d-p,d-q}_S(f_{X,D}) \otimes T_S) & \xrightarrow{r^\vee} & D^\vee_{O_S}(R^{d-q}f_* L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D)) & \xrightarrow{q^\vee} & D^\vee_{O_S}(\mathcal{H}^{d-p+1,d-q}_S(f_{X,D})) & \end{array} \tag{39}$$

3.4 Normal functions and infinitesimal invariants

Definition 13. *The relative intermediate jacobian of f is the of the fibration of complex analytic varieties*

$$J^{p,k}(f_U) = \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)/(F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \oplus H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U)) \to S.$$

By proposition 18, the map ev_f induces an isomorphism over S

$$ev_f : J^{p,k}(f_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^\vee_{O_S}(F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{H}^{2d-k}_S(f_{X,D}))/H_{2d-k,\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D})$$

A normal function is a holomorphic section $\nu \in \Gamma(S, J^{p,k}(f_U))$ of the fibration $\mathcal{J}^{p,k}(f_U) \to S$, such that every local relevement $\tilde{\nu}_W \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U))$ of ν over an open subset $W \subset S$ is horizontal, i.e. $\tilde{\nu}_W$ is holomorphic and satisfy $\nabla\tilde{\nu}_W \in \Gamma(W, F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S)$. Denote by $NF(f_U)(S) \subset \Gamma(S, J^{p,k}(f_U))$ the subspace of normal functions.

Definition-Proposition 2. • *Let $\nu \in NF(f_U)(S)$. Then for $W \subset S$ and $\tilde{\nu}_W \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U))$, the class*

$$[\overline{\nabla \tilde{\nu}_W}] \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^{p-1,k-p+1}_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S / \operatorname{Im} \bar{\nabla})$$

of the projection $\overline{\nabla \tilde{\nu}_W} \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}^{p-1,k-p+1}_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S)$ of $\nabla \tilde{\nu}_W$ modulo the image of $\bar{\nabla}$ does not depends on the choice of a relevement. Thus the local sections $[\overline{\nabla \tilde{\nu}_W}]$ patches together to get the infinitesimal invariant of μ

$$\delta\nu \in \Gamma(S, (\mathcal{H}^{p-1,k-p+1}_S(f_U) \otimes_{O_S} \Omega_S)/\operatorname{Im} \bar{\nabla}),\ \delta\nu_{|W} = [\overline{\nabla \tilde{\nu}_W}] \text{ for all local relevements.}$$

- *Let $\nu \in \Gamma(S, J^{p,k}(f_U))$, then using the exact sequence of sheaves on S^{an} $0 \to H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U) \to \mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U)/F^p\mathcal{H}^k_S(f_U) \to J^{p,k}(f_U) \to 0$ by definition of $J^{p,k}(f_U)$, ν has a cohomology class $[\nu] \in H^1(S, H^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U))$.*

Proof. Standard. □

3.5 Relative Abel jacobi map and infinitesimal invariants

Denote by

- $\mathcal{Z}^p(U, \bullet)^{pr/X/S} \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(U, \bullet)^{pr/X}$ be the subcomplex consisting of $Z = \sum_i n_i Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)$ such that their closures $\bar{Z} = \sum_i n_i \bar{Z}_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$ intersect all the fibers $X_s \hookrightarrow X$ of $f : X \to S$ properly. By Bloch this inclusion of complexes of abelian group is a quasi-isomorphism : consider the generic fiber of f and go on by a decreasing induction on the dimension of subvarieties of S.
- $\mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X \hom /S}_{\partial=0} \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X/S}$ the subspace such that $\partial Z = 0$ and $[\Omega_{Z_s}] = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U_s, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2d-2p+n}(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{C})^\vee$ for all $s \in S$.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X \hom /S}_{\partial=0}$. Recall that its closure $\bar{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$ satisfy $\partial \bar{Z} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D, n)$. Let

$$R_{Z/S} = q(R_Z) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{2p-n-1}_{X/S})) = \Gamma(S, f_*\mathcal{D}^{2p-n-1}_{X/S}) \tag{40}$$

where $q : \mathcal{D}_X(\log D) \to \mathcal{D}_{X/S}(\log D)$ is the quotient map of sheaves on X^{an}. By hypothesis, for all $s \in S$,

$$[\Omega_Z]_{|X_s} = [\Omega_{Z|X_s}] = [\Omega_{Z_s}] = 0 \in H^{2p-n}(U_s, \mathbb{C}). \tag{41}$$

Hence, by proposition 8, for all $s \in S$, $R_{Z_s} = R_{Z|X_s}$ restrict to a closed current on $F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_{X_s}(\operatorname{nul} D)$. That is, $R_{Z/S}$ restrict to a closed current on $F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D)$, and choice of $\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_s} \in C^{\text{diff}}_{2d-2p+n+1}(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\partial\Gamma^\epsilon_{\bar{Z}_s} = \bar{Z}_{s\epsilon} \in C^{\text{diff}}_{2d-2p+n}(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{42}$$

for each $s \in S$ gives the following section $\tilde{\nu}_Z \in \Gamma(S, D^\vee_{O_S}(F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{H}^{2d-2p+n+1}_S(f_{X,D})))$ of the dual vector bundle of the mixed hodge subbundle :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nu}_Z(s) := ev_f(R_{Z/S})(s) &:= (\eta \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_{X/S}(\operatorname{nul} D))^{d_{X/S}=0} \\ &\mapsto <[R_{Z/S}], [\eta]>_{ev_f}(s) = R_{Z_s}(\eta_{|X_s}) = \int_{\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_s}} \pi_X^*\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n})\end{aligned}$$

where $W(s) \subset S$ is an open neighborhood of s in S, the first equality follows from proposition 19, and the last equality from proposition 8.

Theorem 6. *Let $Z = \sum_i n_i Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U, n)^{pr/X \hom /S}_{\partial=0}$. Then,*

$$\nu_Z \in \Gamma(S, D^\vee_{O_S}(F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{H}^{2d-2p+n+1}_S(f_{X,D}))/H_{\mathbb{Z},2d-2p+n+1}(f_{X,D})),\ \ \nu_Z(s) = [\tilde{\nu}_Z(s)]$$

is a normal function (i.e. holomorphic and horizontal), the higher normal function associated to Z.

Proof. For simplicity of the notation denote $< \cdot, \cdot >=< \cdot, \cdot >_{ev_f}$.

Let $s \in S$. There exists a diffeomorphism

$$T : (X_{W(s)}, D_{W(s)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X_s, D_s) \times W(s), \;\; T(x) = (T_s, f),$$

over a sufficialy small open neigborhood $W(s) \subset S$ of s in S. As $[\Omega_{Z|W(s)}] = 0 \in H^{BM}_{2d-2p+n}(X_{W(s)}, D_{W(s)}, \mathbb{C})$, there exist $\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_{W(s)}} \in C^{\mathrm{diff},BM}(X_{W(s)}, D_{W(s)}, \mathbb{Z})$, intersecting properly the fibers of $f : X \to S$, such that $\partial \Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\bar{Z}_{W(s)}} = Z_{\epsilon|W(s)}$. Then we can choose $\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_{s'}} = \Gamma_{\bar{Z}_{W(s)|X_{s'}}}$ for $s' \in W(s)$ and we see that $\nu_{Z|W(s)} = \tilde{\nu}_{ZW(s)}$ is C^∞ This shows that ν_Z is C^∞ and in particular continous on S^{an}. Hence, to prove the holomorphicity and the horizontality of ν_Z, it is enough by continuity of ν_Z on S^{an}, to prove it on a Zariski analytic open subset of S since it is dense in S^{an}. Thus, we can restrict to the Zariski open subset of $S^o \subset S$ over which the families $f_{|\bar{Z}_i} : \bar{Z}_i \to S$ are isosingular.

Let $s \in S^o$. There exists a diffeomorphism

$$T : (X_{W(s)}, D_{W(s)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X_s, D_s) \times W(s), \;\; T(x) = (T_s, f),$$

over a sufficialy small open neigborhood $W(s) \subset S$ of s in S^o such that T induces on Z_i trivialisations :

$$T = T_{|\bar{Z}_i} : (\bar{Z}_i, D \cap \bar{Z}_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{Z}_{is}, D \cap \bar{Z}_i) \times S^o$$

and such that $T^{-1}(x \times S^o)$ are complex subvarieties of X. We can choose $\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_{s'}} = T^{-1}(\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_s} \times s')$ for $s' \in W(s)$ Then, for $u \in \Gamma(W(s), T^{0,1}_S)$, $\tilde{u} \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, T^{0,1}_X)$ a relevement of u of type $(0,1)$ i.e. $d_f(\tilde{u}) = u$, and $\eta \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$, $(\iota(\tilde{u})d\eta) \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ is $d_{X/S}$ exact. Hence,

$$d_u < \nu_Z, [\eta] > (s) = d_u(s' \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_{s'}}} \pi_X^* \eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n})(s) = \int_{\Gamma_{\bar{Z}_s}} \pi_X^* \iota(\tilde{u}) d\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n} = 0$$

since the form $(\iota(\tilde{u})d\eta)_{|X_s} \in \Gamma(X_s, F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}_{X_s}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ is exact and in F^{d-p+1}. This proves that ν_Z is holomorphic. Now let $\omega \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, F^{d-p+2}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ and $u \in \Gamma(W(s), T_S)$. Then $\iota(\tilde{u})d\omega \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)}, F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}^{2d-2p+n+1}_X(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ and $\nabla_u[\omega] = [\iota(\tilde{(u)})d\omega] \in \Gamma(W(s), F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{H}^{2d-2p+n+1}_S(f_{X,D}))$. Hence, by proposition 20 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} < \nabla_u \tilde{\nu}_Z, [\omega] > (s) &= < \nu_Z, \nabla_u[\omega] > (s) - d_u < \nu_Z, \omega > (s) \\ &= d_u(s' \mapsto \int_{\bar{Z}_{s'}} \pi_X^* \omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n})(s) - \int_{\bar{Z}_s} \pi_X^* \iota(\tilde{u}) d\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n} \\ &= \int_{\bar{Z}_s} \pi_X^* \iota(\tilde{u}) d\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n} - \int_{\bar{Z}_s} \pi_X^* \iota(\tilde{u}) d\omega \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that ν_Z is horizontal. □

Definition 14. *The map*

$$AJ_{f_U} : \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\mathrm{hom}\,/S}_{\partial=0} \to \mathrm{CH}^p(U,n)^{\mathrm{hom}\,/S} \to NF_S(f_U) \subset \Gamma(S, J^{p,2p+n-1}(f_U)), \;\; Z \mapsto \nu_Z = [\tilde{\nu}_Z]$$

is the relative higher Abel Jacobi map. The image of $AJ(f_U)$ lies in the subspace $NF_S(f_U) \subset \Gamma(S, J^{p,2p+n-1}(f_U))$ by theorem 6

Proposition 21. *(i) We have decompositions*

- $m_{Uk} = (m^0_{Uk}, \cdots, m^k_{Uk}) : H^k(U, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{r=0}^k H^{k-r}(S, H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U))$
- $m_{(X,D)k} = (m^0_{(X,D)k}, \cdots, m^k_{(X,D)k}) : H^k(X, D, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{r=0}^k H^{k-r}(S, H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D}))$

(ii) For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\mathrm{hom}\,/S}_{\partial=0}$, we have $[\nu_Z] = m^1_{U(2p-n)}([T_Z]) \in H^1(S, H^{2p-n-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U))$.

Proof. (i): This follows from the fact that the morphisms $f : X \to S$ and $f_{D_J} \to S$ are smooth projectif. Indeed, assume for simplicity that $D = D_1 \subset X$ is smooth. Then we have decompositions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Rf_*\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & Rf_{U*}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & Rf_{D*}\mathbb{Z}[-1] & \longrightarrow & Rf_*\mathbb{Z}[1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_D} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_X[1]} \\ \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)[-r] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U)[-r] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d-2} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_D)[-r-1] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)[1-r] \end{array} \tag{43}$$

and the map m_U is the one induced by m_X and m_D. Taking hypercohomology gives the decompositions featuring in the commutative diagram whose rows are long exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H^k(X,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(U,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^{k-1}(D,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_{Xk}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_{Uk}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_{Dk}} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{k} H^{k-r}(S, H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{k-r} H^r(S, H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_U)) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{k-1} H^{k-r-1}(S, H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_D)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array} \tag{44}$$

The map $m_{(X,D)}$ is defined similarly : we have decompositions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Rf_*\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & Rf_{D*}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & Rf_{X,D*}\mathbb{Z}[-1] & \longrightarrow & Rf_*\mathbb{Z}[1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_D} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_{(X,D)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_X[1]} \\ \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)[-r] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d-2} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_D)[-r] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{X,D})[-r-1] & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{r=0}^{2d} H^r_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)[-r+1] \end{array} \tag{45}$$

and the map $m_{(X,D)}$ is the one induced by m_X and m_D.

(ii): Standard. □

Let $\mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\mathrm{hom}\,/S}_{\partial=0}$. Denote again by $[\Omega_Z] = [\Omega^{p,p-n}_Z] \in H^{p-n}(X, \Omega^p_X(\log D))$ its class, recall that $\Omega_Z = \Omega^{p,p-n}_Z$ is of type $(p, p-n)$. Denote again by $[\Omega_Z] \in \Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)))$ its image by the canonical map $H^{p-n}(X, \Omega^p_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))$. Since $[q(\Omega_Z)] = 0$,

$$[\Omega_Z] \in \ker(\Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D))) = \Gamma(S, L^1R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D)).$$

Denote by

- $[\Omega_Z/L^2]\,\ker(\Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2)) \to \Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D)))$, the image of $[\Omega_Z]$ by the projection $\Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2))$.
- $[\Omega_Z]/L^2 \in \Gamma(S, \mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))$, the image of $[\Omega_Z]$ by the projection $\Gamma(S, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D)) \to \Gamma(S, (R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))/L^2)$.

We have the following commutative diagram of sheaves on S^{an} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D) & \\ {\scriptstyle \psi_1^L}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \psi_2^L} \\ \Omega_S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\,\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\nabla}) & \xrightarrow{r^{\bar{\vee}}\sim} & \ker(R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2) \to R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(\log D)) \end{array} \tag{46}$$

where

- $r^{\bar{\vee}} : \Omega_S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\,\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\nabla}) \to R^{p-n}f_*(\mathrm{Gr}^1_L\, \Omega^p_X(\log D))$ is the isomorphism induced by

– the morphism of sheaves on S^{an} $r^\vee : R^{p-n}f_*\operatorname{Gr}^1_L\Omega^p_X(\log D) \to R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2)$ (induced in relative cohomoloy by the morphism of sheaves on X^{an} $r^\vee : \operatorname{Gr}^1_L\Omega^p(\log D) \to \Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2$),

– the isomorphism of sheaves on S^{an} $\phi^{1,p} : R^{p-n}f_*\operatorname{Gr}^1_L\Omega^p_X(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)$ (induced in f direct image cohomology by the isomorphism of complexes of sheaves on X^{an} $\phi^{1,p,\bullet} : \operatorname{Gr}^1_L\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{p-1,\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D)\otimes\Omega_S$, c.f. proposition 11 and remark 4)

- $\psi^2_L : \operatorname{Gr}^1_L R^{p-n}f_*\Omega^p_X(\log D) = E^{1,p-n}_\infty \hookrightarrow R^{p-n}f_*(\operatorname{Gr}^1_L\Omega^p_X(\log D)) = E^{1,p-n}_1$ is the inclusion of sheaves on S^{an} induced by the spectral sequence associated to the complex $(\Omega^p_X(\log D), L)$: for degree reason no arrow d_r, $r \geq 2$ can lead to $E^{1,p-n}_r$. We have $\psi^L_2([\Omega_Z/L^2]) = [\Omega_Z]/L^2$.

- $\psi^L_1 := {r^\vee}^{-1} \circ \psi^L_2$ is the inclusion given by composition.

The infinitesimal invariant associated to the class $[\Omega_Z] \in H^{p,p-n}(U,\mathbb{C})$ is

$$\delta[\Omega_Z] := \psi^L_1([\Omega_Z]/L^2) = {r^\vee}^{-1}([\Omega_Z/L^2]) \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\operatorname{Im}(\bar\nabla))$$

Lemma 1. *Let $Z = \sum_i n_i Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\mathrm{hom}\,/S}_{\partial=0}$ such that $\pi_X(\bar Z_i) \subset X$ is a local complete intersection for all i. Then, for $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(W(s), R^{d-p+n}f_*L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))$,*

$$< [\Omega_Z/L^2], \gamma >_{f_* ev_X}(s) =< [\Omega_Z/L^2](s), \gamma(s) >_{f_* ev_X(s)} = \sum_i \int_{\bar Z_{is}} \pi^*_X \tilde\gamma(s)^{N_i} \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\Omega_{\square^n},$$

where,

- $[\Omega_Z/L^2](s) \in O_s \otimes_{O_{S,s}} (R^{p-n}f_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2))_s = H^{p-n}(X_s, (\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2)_{|X_s})$
- $\gamma(s) \in O_s \otimes_{O_{S,s}} (R^{d-p+n}f_*L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))_s = H^{d-p+n}(X_s, (L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D))_{X_s})$
- $\tilde\gamma(s)^{N_i} = i^*_{\pi_X(\bar Z_i)}\tilde\gamma(s) \in H^{d-p+n}(\pi_X(\bar Z_i), L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_{Z_i}(\operatorname{nul} D))$

are the evaluation in s of the respective sheaves on S^{an} of O_S module, and $\tilde\gamma(s) \in \Gamma(X_s, \Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)_{|X_s} \otimes_{O_{X_s}} \mathcal{A}^{0,d-p+n}_{X_s})^{\bar\partial=0}$ is a closed form such that $[\tilde\gamma(s)] = \gamma(s)$.

Proof. We can assume that $\pi_{X|Z_i} : Z_i \to \pi_X(Z_i)$ is generically finite, otherwise $\Omega_Z = 0$. It is then a staightforward generalization of the description given in [8] section 19.2.2. and the remark that the description is still correct in the case the $\pi_X(Z_i)$ are not smooth but only local complete intersection in X : The class $[\Omega_{Z_i}/L^2](s) \in H^{d-p+n}(X_s, L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)_{|X_s})^\vee$ is given by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} H^{d-p+n}(X_s, L^{d_S-1}\Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)_{|X_s}) &\to H^{d-p+n}(X_s, \Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)_{|X_s}) \\ \to H^{d-p+n}(\pi_X(Z_{is}), \Omega^{d_X-p}_X(\operatorname{nul} D)_{|\pi_X(Z_{is})}) &\to H^{d-p+n}(\pi_X(Z_{is}), \Omega^{d_X-p}_{\pi_X(Z_{is})}(\operatorname{nul}(D\cap\pi_X(Z_{is})))) \\ \to H^{d-p+n}(\pi_X(Z_{is}), \Omega^{d-p}_{\pi_X(Z_{is})}(\operatorname{nul}(D\cap\pi_X(Z_{is})))) &\xrightarrow{ev_{Z_{is}}(\Omega_{Z_{is}})} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\dim \pi_X(Z) = \dim Z = d_X - p + n$ and $\dim \pi_X(Z_s) = \dim Z_s = d - p + n$. □

We have then one of the main result of this paper :

Theorem 7. *Let $Z = \sum_i n_i Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U,n)^{pr/X\,\mathrm{hom}\,/S}_{\partial=0}$ such that $\pi_X(\bar Z_i) \subset X$ is a local complete intersection for all i. Then $\delta\nu_Z = \delta[\Omega_Z] \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_S \otimes \mathcal{H}^{p-1,p-n}_S(f_U)/\operatorname{Im}(\bar\nabla))$.*

Proof. For simplicity of notation, denote by $< \cdot,\cdot >=< \cdot,\cdot >_{ev_f}$. By proposition 20 (ii), we have to prove that for all $s \in S$, and all $\mu \otimes u \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d-p+1,d-p+n}(f_{X,D}))^{{}^t\nabla=0}$, where $W(s) \subset S$ is an open neighborhood of s in S,

$$< (\delta\nu_Z)_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s) =< (\delta[\Omega_Z])_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s)$$

So, let $s \in S$, and $\mu \otimes u \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d-p+1,d-p+n}(f_{X,D}))^{{}^t\bar\nabla=0}$. Shrinking $W(s)$ if necessary, there exist $\eta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{d-p+1,d-p+n}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{\bar\partial_{X/S}=0}$ such that

$$[\eta] = \mu \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d-p+1,d-p+n}(f_{X,D}))$$

(see definition 11). By corollary 4(ii),there exist $\hat\eta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$ such that

$$\overline{[\hat\eta]} = [\hat\eta^{d-p+1,d-p+n}] = [\eta] \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d-p+1,d-p+n}(f_{X,D})).$$

By definition,

$$\nabla_u[\hat\eta] = [\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta] \in \Gamma(W(s), F^{d-p}\mathcal{H}_S^{2d-2p+n+1}(f_{X,D})),$$

with $\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*F^{d-p}\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$. By hypothesis,

$$\bar\nabla_u(\mu) = \overline{\nabla_u([\hat\eta])} = \overline{[\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta]} = {}^t\bar\nabla(\mu \otimes u) = 0 \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d-p,d-p+n+1}(f_{X,D})),$$

that is

$$\nabla_u[\hat\eta] = [\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta] \in \Gamma(W(s), F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{H}_S^{2d-2p+n+1}(f_{X,D})).$$

Thus, using again the E_1 degenerescence of $(f_*\mathcal{A}_{X/S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D), F)$ (corollary 4 (i)), there exist

- $\alpha \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*F^{d-p}\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$,
- $\beta \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*F^{d-p+1}\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}$

such that

$$\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta = \beta + d\alpha \in \Gamma(W(s), f_*F^{d-p}\mathcal{A}_{X/S}^{2d-2p+n+1}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))^{d_{X/S}=0}. \tag{47}$$

Let us now compute the first term $< (\delta\nu_Z)_{|W(s)}, \mu\otimes u > (s) =< (\delta\nu_Z)(s), \mu(s)\otimes u(s) >_{ev_{X_s}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} < (\delta\nu_Z)_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s) &= < \nabla_u[\tilde\nu_{Z,W}], [\hat\eta] > (s) \\ &= d_u < [\tilde\nu_{Z,W}], [\hat\eta] > (s) - < [\tilde\nu_{Z,W}], \nabla_u[\hat\eta] > (s) \text{ by proposition 20 } (i) \\ &: = d_u(s' \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_{s'}}} \pi_X^*\hat\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n) - \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_s}} \pi_X^*\beta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n \end{aligned}$$

We have $d_u(s' \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_{s'}}} \pi_X^*\hat\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n) = \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_s}} \iota(\tilde u(\square^n))d(\pi_X^*\hat\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n) = \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_s}} \pi_X^*\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n$ where $\tilde u(\square^n) \in \Gamma(X_{W(s)} \times \square^n, T_{X\times\square^n})$ is a relevement of $\tilde u$ hence a relevement of u for $f(\square^n) : X \times \square^n \to S$, $f(\square^n)(x,t) = f(x)$, since $\iota(\tilde u(\square^n))\pi_{\mathbb{P}^n}^*\ell = 0$ for all differential form $\ell \in \Gamma((\mathbb{C}^*)^n, \mathcal{A}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n})$ (hence in particular $\iota(\tilde u(\square^n))\pi_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* d\Omega_\square^n = 0$). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} < (\delta\nu_Z)_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s) &= \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_s}} \pi_X^*(\iota(\tilde u)d\hat\eta - \beta) \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n = \int_{\Gamma_{\bar Z_s}} \pi_X^* d\alpha \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n \\ &= \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar Z_{is}} \pi_X^*\alpha \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n = \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar Z_{is}} \pi_X^*\alpha^{d-p,d-p+n} \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}^*\Omega_\square^n \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by Stoke formula and the last equality for type reason (Ω_{Z_s} is of type $(p, p-n)$).

Let us compute the second term. Shrinking $W(s) \subset S$ if necessary, there exist, by the exactness of the first row of the diagramm of sheaves on S^{an} (39),

$$\gamma \in \Gamma(W(s), R^{d-p+n} f_* L^{d_S-1} \Omega_X^{d_X-p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$$

such that $r(\gamma) = \mu \otimes u$. By commutativity of this diagram (39),

$$< \delta[\Omega_Z]_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u >=< \delta[\Omega_Z]_{|W(s)}, r(\gamma) >=< [\Omega_Z/L^2]_{|W(s)}, \gamma >_{f_* ev_X}$$

Hence, by lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} < \delta[\Omega_Z]_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s) =< \delta[\Omega_Z](s), \mu(s) \otimes u(s) >_{ev_{X_s}} &= < \delta[\Omega_Z](s), r(s)(\gamma(s)) >_{ev_{X_s}} \quad (48) \\ &= < [\Omega_Z/L^2](s), \gamma(s) >_{f_* ev_X(s)} \quad (49) \\ &= \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{is}} \pi_X^* \tilde{\gamma}(s)^{N_i} \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n} \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have to find a form $\xi \in \Gamma(X_s, L^{d_S-1} \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,d-p+n}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)_{|X_s})^{\partial_{X_s}=0}$ such that

$$r(s)[\xi] = \mu(s) \otimes u(s) \in H^{d-p+n}(X_s, \Omega_{X_s}^{d-p}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)).$$

Consider the form

$$\chi := \hat{\eta}^{d-p+1,d-p+n} \wedge f^* \iota(u)\kappa + \alpha^{d-p,d-p+n} \wedge f^* \kappa \in \Gamma(W(s), L^{d_S+1} \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,d-p+n}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)).$$

We have $r(\chi) = \hat{\eta}^{d-p+1,d-p+n} \otimes u$. Taking the component of type $(d-p, d-p+n)$ in the relation

$$(\iota(\tilde{u}) d\hat{\eta})_{|X_s} = \beta_{X_s} + d\alpha_{|X_s}$$

which is the restriction of (47) to X_s, we find that the form

$$\xi := \chi_{|X_s} \in \Gamma(X_s, L^{d_S-1} \mathcal{A}_X^{d_X-p,d-p+n}(\mathrm{nul}\, D)_{|X_s})^{\bar{\partial}_{X_s}=0}$$

is closed. Moreover, since $r(\chi) = \hat{\eta}^{d-p+1,d-p+n} \otimes u$, we have

$$r(s)(\xi) = r(s)(\chi_{|X_s}) = \hat{\eta}_{|X_s}^{d-p+1,d-p+n} \otimes u.$$

Hence, on cohomology $r(s)([\xi]) = [\eta_{|X_s}] \otimes u(s) = \mu(s) \otimes u(s)$. We have the desired form. Then (48) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} < \delta[\Omega_Z]_{|W(s)}, \mu \otimes u > (s) =< \delta[\Omega_Z](s), \mu(s) \otimes u(s) >_{ev_{X_s}} &= < (\delta[\Omega_Z](s), r(s)[\xi] >_{ev_{X_s}} \\ &= < [\Omega_Z/L^2](s), [\xi] >_{f_* ev_X(s)} \\ &= \sum_i n_i \int_{\bar{Z}_{is}} \pi_X^* \alpha^{d-p,d-p+n} \wedge \pi_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \Omega_{\square^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows again from the fact that $\Omega_{Z_{is}} \in \Gamma(X_s, \mathcal{D}_X^{d-p,d-p+n}(\log D))$ is of type $(d-p, d-p+n)$.

□

Remark 8. *Note that the form $\alpha^{d-p,d-p+n} \in \Gamma(X_{W_s}, \mathcal{A}_X^{d-p,d-p+n}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ is not $\bar{\partial}_{X/S}$ closed, hence not $d_{X/S}$ closed since it is of single type $(d-p, d-p+n)$, that is $\alpha_{|X_s}^{d-p,d-p+n}$ is not $\bar{\partial}_{X_s}$ and not d_{X_s} closed. But $\pi_X^* \alpha_{|Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}}^{d-p,d-p+n}$ is $\bar{\partial}_{Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}/S}$ closed, that is $\pi_X^* \alpha_{|Z_{is}^{\mathrm{reg}}}^{d-p,d-p+n}$ is $\bar{\partial}_{Z_{is}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ closed. where, $Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset Z_i$ the smooth locus of Z_i. Denote by $i_{\bar{Z}_i} : \bar{Z}_i \hookrightarrow X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ the closed embedding. On the other side, the current $\Omega_Z = \sum_i n_i \pi_{X*} i_{\bar{Z}_i *} \Omega_{Z_i}^{on Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^{p,p-n}(\log D))$ is $d_{X/S}$ closed, hence $\bar{\partial}_{X/S}$ closed since it is of single type $(p, p-n)$, that is Ω_{Z_s} is d_{X_s} closed, since $\partial Z = 0$. But the currents $\Omega_{Z_i}^{on Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}} \in \Gamma(\bar{Z}_i, \mathcal{D}_{Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}}^{p,p-n}(\log(D \cap Z_i))$ are not $\bar{\partial}_{Z_i^{\mathrm{reg}}/S}$ closed.*

4 Higher Abel Jacobi map for open complete intersection

Let $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ together with an embedding $Y \in \mathbb{P}^N$. For $d, e >> 0$, the morphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ vector spaces

- $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^N, O(d)) \to \Gamma(Y, O_Y(d)) = S_d$,
- $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^N, O(e)) \to \Gamma(Y, O_Y(e)) = S_e$, and
- $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^N, O(d)) \to \Gamma(Z, O_Z(d)) = S_d$ for $Z \subset Y$ such that $Z \in \Gamma(Y, O_Y(e))$,

are surjective. Denote by $p_{d,e} : Y \times S_d \times S_e \to S_d \times S_e$ and $p_Y : Y \times S_d \times S_e \to Y$ the projections. Consider the commutative diagram of families of hypersurface sections of degre d and e, whose squares are cartesians :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D : \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{k_{\mathcal{D}}} & \mathcal{Z} & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{\mathcal{D}}} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f : \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{X}}} & Y \times S_d \times S_e & \xrightarrow{p_{d,e}} & S_d \times S_e \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_{\mathcal{U}}} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U : \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \backslash \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{U}}} & (Y \times S_d \times S_e) \backslash \mathcal{Z} & & \end{array} \tag{51}$$

Note that $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$, since $p_{Y|\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \to Y$, $p_{Y|\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \to Y$, $p_{Y|\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \to Y$ are projective bundles and Y is smooth.

For $0 \in S_e$, denote by $p_Y^0 = p_{Y|Y \times S_d \times 0} : Y \times S_d \times 0 \to Y$ and $p_{Y \backslash Z_0}^0 = p_{Y|(Y \backslash Z_0) \times S_d \times 0} : (Y \backslash Z_0) \times S_d \times 0 \to Y \backslash Z_0$, $p_d^0 = p_{d,e|Y \times S_d \times 0} : Y \times S_d \times 0 \to S_d$, the projections, and consider the pullback of the diagram (51) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D^o : D = X \cap (Z_0 \times S_d) & \xrightarrow{k_D} & Z_0 \times S_d & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_D} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f^o : X = \mathcal{X}_{S_d \times 0} & \xrightarrow{i_X} & Y \times S_d \times 0 & \xrightarrow{p_d^0} & S_d \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U^o : U = X \backslash D & \xrightarrow{i_U} & (Y \backslash Z_0) \times S_d & & \end{array} \tag{52}$$

where $Z_0 = p_Y^0(\mathcal{Z}_{S_d \times 0}) \subset Y$ so that we have $\mathcal{Z}_{S_d \times 0} = Z_0 \times S_d$. Then $Y \backslash Z_0$ is an affine variety. For $s \in S_d$, consider the correspondence $\Delta(U_s) \subset (Y \backslash Z_0) \times (Y \backslash Z_0)$ which is the diagonal of U_s. Since the projection $\Delta(U_s) \to (Y \backslash Z_0)$ is proper there is a well defined action of this correspondence on cohomology. We denote by

$$H^k(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})^0 := \ker(\Delta(U_s)_*) \subset H^k(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C}) \tag{53}$$

the primitive cohomology of $Y \backslash Z_0$, that is kernel of this action. For $s \in S_d$ such that $U_s \subset Y \backslash Z_0$ is smooth, we have the equality (by Poincare duality for U_s)

$$H^k(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})^0 := \ker(\Delta(U_s)_*) = \ker(i_{U_s}^*) \subset H^k(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C}), \tag{54}$$

that is the primitive cohomology coincide with the kernel of pullback by the inclusion of an ample smooth hypersurface section. Since $Y \backslash Z_0$ is affine, $H^k(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $k \geq d_Y + 1$ and $H^{d_Y}(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})^0 = H^{d_Y}(Y \backslash Z_0, \mathbb{C})$.

For a morphism $T \to S_d$, we consider the pullback of the diagram (52) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_D^T : D_T = X_T \cap (Z_0 \times T) & \xrightarrow{k_{D_T}} & Z_0 \times T & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{D_T}} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ f^T : X_T & \xrightarrow{i_{X_T}} & Y \times T \times 0 & \xrightarrow{p^T} & T \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_{U_T}} & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ f_U^T : U_T = X_T \backslash D_T & \xrightarrow{i_{U_T}} & (Y \backslash Z_0) \times T & & \end{array} \tag{55}$$

where $X_T = X \times_{S_d} T$, $U_T = U \times_{S_d} T$, $D_T = D \times_{S_d} T$.

We now give a version of Nori connectness theorem for families of ample open hypersurfaces of $Y \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 8. *Assume $d_Y \geq 4$ Let $0 \in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S \subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0 : X \to S_d$ and $f_D^0 : D \to S_d$ are smooth projective. Then, if $d, e >> 0$, for all smooth morphism $T \to S_d$ and all $0 \leq k \leq d_Y$,*

(i) $i_{X_T}^* : H^{k-p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y\times T}(\log(Z_0 \times T))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T}(\log D_T))$ is an isomorphism,

(ii) $i_{U_T}^* : H^k((Y \backslash Z_0) \times T, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(U_T, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism of mixed hodge structure.

Proof. (i): Consider the commutative diagram of sheaves on $Y \times T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^p_{Y\times T} & \longrightarrow & \Omega^p_{Y\times T}(\log(Z_0 \times T)) & \xrightarrow{Res} & i_{(Z_0\times T)*}\Omega^{p-1}_{Z_0\times T} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{X_T}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{X_T}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k_{D_T}^*} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i_{X_T*}\Omega^p_{X_T} & \longrightarrow & i_{X_T*}\Omega^p_{X_T}(\log D_T) & \xrightarrow{Res} & i_{X_T*}i_{D_T*}\Omega^{p-1}_{D_T} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{56}$$

whose rows are exact sequences.

It induces in cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & H^{k-p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y\times T}) & \longrightarrow & H^{k-p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y\times T}(\log(Z_0 \times T))) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^{k-p}(Z_0 \times T, \Omega^{p-1}_{Z_0\times T}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{X_T}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{X_T}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k_{D_T}^*} & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & H^{k-p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T}) & \longrightarrow & H^{k-p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T}(\log D_T))) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^{k-p}(D_T, \Omega^{p-1}_{D_T}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array} \tag{57}$$

Now,

- by Nori connectness theorem for the pair $(Y \times S_d, X)$, since $d_Y \geq 4$ (hence $d_Y < 2d_Y - 2$), $d >> 0$ and $T \to S_d$ is smooth, the map $i_{X_T}^* : H^{k-p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y\times T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T})$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k \leq d_Y$,
- by Nori connectness theorem for the pair $(Z_0 \times S_d, D)$, since $d_Y \geq 4$ (hence $d_Y - 1 < 2d_Y - 4$), $e >> 0$, and $T \to S_d$ is smooth, the map $k_{D_T}^* : H^{k-p}(Z_0 \times T, \Omega^{p-1}_{Z_0\times T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}(D_T, \Omega^{p-1}_{D_T})$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k \leq d_Y$.

Hence, by the diagramm (57) $i_{X_T}^* : H^{k-p}(Y \times T, \Omega^p_{Y\times T}(\log(Z_0 \times T))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-p}(X_T, \Omega^p_{X_T}(\log D_T))$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k \leq d_Y$.

(ii): It follows from (i). We can also prove (ii) directly. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram whose rows are long exact sequences :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & H^k(Y\times T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{j^*_{(Y\setminus Z_0)\times T}} & H^k((Y\setminus Z_0)\times T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^{k-1}(Z_0\times T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{i_{(Z_0\times T)*}} & \cdots \\ & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*_{X_T}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*_{U_T}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k^*_{D_T}} & & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & H^{d_Y}(X_T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{j^*_{U_T}} & H^k(U_T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^{k-1}(D_T,\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{i_{D_T*}} & \cdots \end{array} \tag{58}$$

Now,

- by Nori connectness theorem for the pair $(Y\times S_d, X)$, since $d_Y\geq 4$ (hence $d_Y<2d_Y-2$), $d>>0$ and $T\to S_d$ is smooth, the map $i^*_{X_T}: H^k(Y\times T,\mathbb{C})\xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_T,\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism of mixed hodge structures for all $0\leq k\leq d_Y$,
- by Nori connectness theorem for the pair $(Z_0\times S_d, D)$, since $d_Y\geq 4$ (hence $d_Y-1<2d_Y-4$), $e>>0$, and $T\to S_d$ is smooth, the map $k^*_{D_T}: H^{k-1}(Z_0\times T,\mathbb{C})\xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k-1}(D_T,\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism of mixed hodge structures for all $0\leq k\leq d_Y$.

Hence, by the diagramm (58) $i^*_{U_T}: H^k((Y\setminus Z_0)\times T,\mathbb{C})\xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(U_T,\mathbb{C})$ an isomorphism of mixed hodge structures for all $0\leq k\leq d_Y$. □

A non vanishing criterion for an ample hypersurface of $Y\setminus Z_0$

We will prove theorem 9. We begin by a lemma :

Lemma 2. *Let $0\in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S\subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0: X\to S_d$ and $f^0_D: D\to S_d$ are smooth projective. The map of filtered complexes of sheaves on $(Y\times S_d)^{an}$*

$$i^*_X: (\Omega^\bullet_{Y\times S_d}(\log(Z_0\times S_d)),L)\to (i_{X*}\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D),L)$$

induces a surjection of sheaves on S^{an}

$$i^*_X: L^2R^{d_Y-p}p^0_{d*}\Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0\times S))\to L^2R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*\Omega^d_{X_S}(\log D).$$

Proof. By Lefschetz theorem, the restriction morphism $i^*_U: H^k(Y\setminus Z_0,\mathbb{C})\to H^k(U_s,\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism for $0\leq k<d_Y-1$ and is injective for $k=d_Y-1$ ($Y\setminus(Z_0\cup U_s)$ is a smooth affine variety). Moreover it is a morphism of mixed hodge structures. Hence, since the Frölicher filtration is E_1 degenerate,

$$i^*_X: \mathcal{H}^{l,m}_S(p_{Y\setminus Z_0})=R^mp^0_{d*}\Omega^l_{Y\times S/S}(\log(Z_0\times S))=E_1^{1,m}\to \mathcal{H}^{l,m}_S(p_{Y\setminus Z_0})=R^mf^0_*\Omega^l_{X_S/S}(\log D)=E_1^{1,m}$$

is an isomorphism for $0\leq l+m<d_Y-1$ and is injective for $l+m=d_Y-1$. □

Theorem 9. *Assume $d_Y\geq 4$ Let $0\in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S\subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0: X\to S_d$ and $f^0_D: D\to S_d$ are smooth projective. Let $Z\in\mathcal{Z}^p(Y\setminus Z_0,2p-d_Y)^{pr/Y}_{\partial=0}$ such that $[\Omega_Z]\neq 0\in H^{d_Y}(Y\setminus Z_0,\mathbb{C})$. Then for $s\in S$ general, $AJ_{U_s}(Z_s):=[R'_{Z_s}]\neq 0\in J^{p,d_Y-1}(U_s)$.*

Proof. Consider the cycle $\tilde{Z}=i^*_Up^{0*}_{Y\setminus Z_0}Z\in\mathcal{Z}^p(U_S,2p-d_Y)^{pr/X,\hom/S}_{\partial=0}$. We want to show that

$$\nu_{\tilde{Z}}\neq 0\in\Gamma(S,J^{p,d_Y-1}(f^0_U))=\Gamma(S,D^\vee_{O_S}(F^{d_Y-p}\mathcal{H}^{d_Y-1}_S(f^0_{X,D}))/H_{d_Y-1,\mathbb{Z}}(f^0_{X,D}).$$

Since for all $s\in S$,

$$\nu_{\tilde{Z}}(s)=[R_{Z_s}]=ev_{X_s}(AJ_{U_s}(Z_s))\in F^{d_Y-p}H^{d_Y-1}(X_s,D_s,\mathbb{C})^\vee/H_{d_Y-1}(X_s,D_s,\mathbb{Z}),$$

this will give the result because then $V(\nu_{\tilde{Z}})\subset S$ will be a proper analytic subset, even a proper algebraic subset by a result of Brossman, Pearlstein and Schnell. By theorem 7,

$$\delta\mu_{\tilde{Z}}=\delta[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}]\in\Gamma(S,\mathcal{H}^{p-1,d_Y-p}_S(f^0_U)\otimes_{O_S}\Omega_S/\operatorname{Im}\bar{\nabla}).$$

Hence, it suffice to show that $\delta[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}] \neq 0$. Since, by the commutativity of (39), we have, for all $s \in S$, $W(s) \subset S$ an open neighborhood of s in S and $\mu \in \Gamma(W(s), \mathcal{H}_S^{d_Y-p,p-1}(f^0_{X,D}) \otimes_{O_S} T_S)^{\overline{\nabla}=0}$,

$$< \delta[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}]_{|W(s)}, \mu >_{ev_f} = < [\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}/L^2]_{|W(s)}, \gamma >_{f_* ev_X}$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma(W(s), R^{p-1}f^0_* L^{d_S-1}\Omega_X^{d_Y-p+d_S}(\mathrm{nul}\, D))$ is such that $r(\gamma) = \mu$, it suffice to show that $[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}/L^2] \neq 0 \in \Gamma(S, R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2))$. Since the map from the Leray spectral sequence of associated to the filtered complex $(\Omega^\bullet_X(\log D), L)$ (c.f.diagramm (46))

$$\psi^2_L : \Gamma(S, (L^1 R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))/L^2) = E^{1,d_Y-p}_\infty \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S, R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*(\Omega^p_X(\log D)/L^2))^{q=0} = E_1^{1,d_Y-p}$$

is injective, it suffice to show that $[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}]/L^2 \neq 0 \in \Gamma(S, (L^1 R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))/L^2)$.

So, suppose that $[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}]/L^2 = 0$, that is $[\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}] \in \Gamma(S, L^2 R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))$. By the lemma 2, since $S \subset S_d$ is affine, there exist

$$\alpha \in \Gamma(S, L^2 R^{d_Y-p} p^0_{d*}\Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S)))$$

such that $i_X^*\alpha = [\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}]$. Since S is affine, the canonical maps

- $L^2H^{d_Y-p}(Y \times S, \Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(S, L^2R^{d_Y-p}p^0_{d*}\Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S)))$ and
- $L^2H^{d_Y-p}(X_S, \Omega^p_X(\log D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(S, L^2R^{d_Y-p}f^0_*\Omega^p_X(\log D))$

are isomorphisms. Hence, seeing $\alpha \in L^2H^{d_Y-p}(Y \times S, \Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S)))$,

$$i_X^*\alpha = [\Omega_{\tilde{Z}}] = i_X^* p_Y^{0*}[\Omega_Z] \in H^{d_Y-p}(X_S, \Omega^p_{X_S}(\log D)),$$

that is $i_X^*(\alpha - p_Y^{0*}[\Omega_Z]) = 0 \in H^{d_Y-p}(X_S, \Omega^p_{X_S}(\log D)$. But since $p_Y^{0*}[\Omega_Z] \notin L^2H^{d_Y-p}(Y\times S, \Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S)))$, $\alpha - p_Y^{0*}[\Omega_Z] \neq 0 \in H^{d_Y-p}(Y \times S, \Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S)))$. But by the theorem 8 (i), since $S \hookrightarrow S_d$ is smooth,

$$i_X^* : H^{d_Y-p}(Y \times S, \Omega^p_{Y\times S}(\log(Z_0 \times S))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d_Y-p}(X_S, \Omega^p_{X_S}(\log D)),$$

is an isomorphism. We get a contradiction. □

The image of the Abel Jacobi map of an ample hypersurface of $Y\backslash Z_0$

Theorem 10. *Assume $d_Y \geq 4$. Let $0 \in S_e$ sufficiently general and $S \subset S_d$ the open subset over which such that the morphisms $f^0 : X \to S_d$ and $f^0_D : D \to S_d$ are smooth projective. Consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^p(Y\backslash Z_0, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i^*_{U_s}} & \mathrm{CH}^p(U_s, 2p-d_Y, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{R}(Y,Z_0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s,D_s)}} \\ H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Z_0, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_s, D_s, \mathbb{Q})/J^{p,d_Y}(Y\backslash Z_0)_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array} \tag{59}$$

Then for a general point $s \in S$, $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{R}^p(X_s, D_s)}) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{R}^p(X_s, D_s)} \circ i^*_{U_s})$.

Proof. We follow [2]. Let $s \in S$ a general point and $Z_s = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i Z_{is} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U_s, n, \mathbb{Q})^{pr/X_s}_{\partial=0}$. Then, there exists a branched covering $h : T \to S_d$, $t \in h^{-1}(s)$, and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X_T, n, \mathbb{Q})$ such that

- $h^{-1}(s) = \{t, t_1, \cdots, t_r\} \subset T_0$, where $T_0 \subset T$ is the Zariski open subset such that $h : T_0 \to S_d$ is smooth,
- $\partial Z \in i_{D_T*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D_T, n-1, \mathbb{Q})$,
- $Z \cdot (X_t \times \{t\}) = \bar{Z}_s$,

with $X_T = X \times_{S_d} T$ and $D_T = D \times_{S_d} T$. For this, consider, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, the relative Hilbert scheme $h_i : H_i \to S_d$ of $f(\square^n) : \mathcal{X} \times \square^n \to S_d$, such that $\bar{Z}_{is}$ belongs to and $h : H \hookrightarrow H_1 \times_{S_d} \cdots \times_{S_d} H_k \to S_d$ defining the condition $\partial G_{is} \in i_{D*}\mathcal{Z}^{p-1}(D, n)$. Note that $H \to S_d$ is surjective since there always exist such a cycle in a fiber and $s \in S_d$ is general. Take a multisection $T \hookrightarrow H \to S_d$ of h such that $h^{-1}(s) \cap T \cap \mathrm{sing}(h) = \emptyset$, where $\mathrm{sing}(h)$ is the singular locus of h, and such that the intersection $h^{-1}(s) \cap T \subset H$ is transversal.

Denote by $C = \{t, t_1, \cdots, t_{r'}\} \subset h^{-1}(s)$, with $1 \leq r' \leq r$, the subset such that $Z_{t_i} \subset X_s$ is not included in D_s. By theorem 8(ii),

$$i^*_{U_T} : H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}((Y \backslash Z_0) \times T, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_T, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism and in particular surjectif. Hence, there exist $\gamma \in H^{d_Y}_{\mathcal{D}}((Y \backslash Z_0) \times T, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathcal{R}((Y \backslash Z_0) \times T)(Z_T) = i^*_U \gamma$. Hence, for $t_i, t_j \in C$,

$$\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)(Z_{t_i}) - \mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)(Z_{t_j}) \in i^*_{U_s} J^{p, d_Y - 1}(Y \backslash Z_0)$$

This gives the equality

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}(\sum_{t_i \in C} Z_{t_i}) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}(\sum_{t_i \in h^{-1}(s)} Z_{t_i}) = r' \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}(\bar{Z}_s) \tag{60}$$

Consider now a pencil $\Lambda_d \subset S_d$ such that $s \in \Lambda_d$, and $\hat{T} = h^{-1}(\Lambda_d) \subset T$.

- In $Y \times \hat{T}$ we have $(X_s \times \hat{T}).X_{\hat{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_s \times \{t_i\} + B(\Lambda_d) \times \hat{T}$
- In $(Y \backslash Z_0) \times \hat{T}$ we have $(U_s \times \hat{T}).U_{\hat{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} U_s \times \{t_i\} + (B(\Lambda_d) \cap (Y \backslash Z_0)) \times \hat{T}$

where $X_{\hat{T}} = X \times_{S_d} \hat{T}$, $U_{\hat{T}} = U \times_{S_d} \hat{T}$ and $B(\Lambda_d) = X_s \cap X_{s'} \subset Y$, $s' \neq s \in S_d$ is the base locus of the pencil. Consider

- $Z_{\hat{T}} = Z \cdot X_{\hat{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X_{\hat{T}}, 2p - d_Y, \mathbb{Q})$ and
- $Z_{\hat{T}|U_{\hat{T}}} := j^*_{U_{\hat{T}}} Z_{\hat{T}} = (j^*_{U_T} Z).U_{\hat{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}^p(U_{\hat{T}}, 2p - d_Y, \mathbb{Q})$ its restriction.

We may assume, adding a boundary if necessary, that

$$(j^*_{U_T} Z) \cap ((B(\Lambda_d) \cap (Y \backslash Z_0)) \times \hat{T}) := (j^*_{U_T} Z).(U_s \times \hat{T}).(U_{s'} \times \hat{T}) \in \mathcal{Z}^{p+3}((Y \backslash Z_0) \times \hat{T}, 2p - d_Y),$$

that is the intersection is a Bloch cycle of the appropriate codimension. By the projection formula, we have, denoting $p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0} : (Y \backslash Z_0) \times \hat{T} \to Y \backslash Z_0$ the projection (which is proper since $\hat{T}$ is projective),

$$(p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0 *} Z_{\hat{T}|U_{\hat{T}}}).U_s = p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0 *}((j^*_{U_T} Z).U_{\hat{T}}.(U_s \times \hat{T})) = \sum_{t_i \in C} j^*_{U_s} Z_{t_i} + (p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0 *}((j^*_{U_T} Z).(U_{s'} \times \hat{T}))) \cdot U_s \tag{61}$$

Finaly, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}(Z_s) &= \frac{1}{r'} \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)}(\sum_{t_i \in C} j^*_{U_s} Z_{t_i}) \text{ by } (60) \\ &= \frac{1}{r'} \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_s, D_s)} \circ i^*_{U_s}(p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0 *} Z_{\hat{T}|U_{\hat{T}}} - p^{\hat{T}}_{Y \backslash Z_0 *}((j^*_{U_T} Z).(U_{s'} \times \hat{T})) \text{ by } (61) \end{aligned}$$

This gives the theorem. □

References

[1] M.Asakura and S.Saito *Generalized Jacobi rings for open complete intersections, Math. Nachr. 2004*

[2] M.Green and S.Müller-Stach *Algebraic cycles on a general complete intersection of high multi-degree of a smooth projective variety. Compositio Mathematica. 1996*

[3] U.Jansen *Deligne homology, Hodge-D-conjecture and motives. 1988*

[4] M.Kerr *Geometric construction of regulator currents with application to algebraic cycles. Princeton University thesis. 2003*

[5] M.Kerr, J.Lewis and S.Müller-Stach *The Abel Jacobi map for higher Chow groups. Compositio Mathematica. 2006*

[6] J.R.King *Log complexes of currents and the functorial properties of the Abel Jacobi map. Duke mathematical Journal. 1983*

[7] C.Peters and J.Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge Structures. Volume 52 Springer. 2007*

[8] C.Voisin, *Théorie de Hodge et and géometrie algebrique complexe. Cours spécialisé. 2002*